# Pasadena Independent School District District Improvement Plan 2020-2021

Accountability Rating: Not Rated: Declared State of Disaster



**Public Presentation Date:** April 27, 2021

# **Mission Statement**

The mission of Pasadena ISD, the gateway to unlimited opportunity for the youth of our culturally rich community, is to empower students to become accomplished, self-directed, collaborative, lifelong learners, who boldly contribute to an increasingly complex and evolving world by engaging them in positive relationships, rigorous curriculum, and innovative meaningful experiences.

# Vision

Pasadena ISD empowers students to become accomplished, self-directed, collaborative, lifelong learners who boldly contribute to an increasingly complex and evolving world.

# **Federal Funds Compliance Statement**

The federal funds are reasonable, necessary, allowable and allocable under federal regulations. It is in compliance with the federal supplement, not supplant provision.

# **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	5
Demographics	5
Student Achievement	7
District Culture and Climate	9
Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention	11
Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment	12
Parent and Community Engagement	14
District Context and Organization	15
Technology	16
Priority Problem Statements	17
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	18
Goals	20
Goal 1: We will ensure rigorous curriculum and meaningful experiences through innovative learning environments that meet the individual needs of each student.	21
Goal 2: We will promote career and college exploration and preparation through the use of systems and structures to meet the needs of all.	52
Goal 3: We will actively recruit, develop, and retain a highly qualified staff.	60
Goal 4: We will use a culturally responsive approach to relentlessly pursue meaningful engagement with parental, business, and community stakeholders.	62
Goal 5: We will promote a safe school environment, teach citizenship, and support the social, emotional and physical well-being of all students and staff.	66
Goal 6: We will promote an exemplary learning environment through the utilization of ancillary service departments that integrate established and innovative practices,	
standards, and systems.	72
State Compensatory	74
Budget for District Improvement Plan	75
Schoolwide and Targeted Assisted Title I Elements	76
ELEMENT 1. SWP COMPREHENSIVE NEEDS ASSESSMENT (CNA)	77
1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment	77
ELEMENT 2. SWP CAMPUS IMPROVEMENT PLAN (CIP)	77
2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders	77
2.2: Regular monitoring and revision	77
2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language	77
2.4: Opportunities for all children to meet State standards	77
2.5: Increased learning time and well-rounded education	77
2.6: Address needs of all students, particularly at-risk	78
ELEMENT 3. PARENT AND FAMILY ENGAGEMENT (PFE)	78
3.1: Develop and distribute Parent and Family Engagement Policy	78
3.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings	78
Title I Personnel	78
Plan Notes	79
District Funding Summary	81

Addendums 84

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

# **Demographics**

**Demographics Summary** 

## STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS

## Texas Education Agency

Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Student Information

District Name: PASADENA ISD County Name: HARRIS

District Number: 101917

		Membersh	ip		Enrollment			
	Dis	strict	Si	tate	Dis	strict		State
Student Information	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Total Students	52,792	100.0%	5,479,173	100.0%	52,878	100.0%	5,493,940	100.0%
Students by Grade:								
Early Childhood Education	240	0.5%	16,848	0.3%	282	0.5%	25,883	0.5%
Pre-Kindergarten	2,417	4.6%	248,413	4.5%	2,417	4.6%	249,226	4.5%
Kindergarten	3,263	6.2%	383,585	7.0%	3,264	6.2%	384,114	7.0%
Grade 1	3,715	7.0%	391,175	7.1%	3,716	7.0%	391,449	7.1%
Grade 2	3,601	6.8%	388,370	7.1%	3,604	6.8%	388,675	7.1%
Grade 3	3,681	7.0%	391,565	7.1%	3,681	7.0%	391,795	7.1%
Grade 4	3,737	7.1%	399,883	7.3%	3,740	7.1%	400,111	7.3%
Grade 5	4,022	7.6%	417,272	7.6%	4,024	7.6%	417,444	7.6%
Grade 6	4,023	7.6%	422,605	7.7%	4,024	7.6%	422,740	7.7%
Grade 7	4,131	7.8%	423,421	7.7%	4,132	7.8%	423,545	7.7%
Grade 8	3,858	7.3%	411,170	7.5%	3,860	7.3%	411,272	7.5%
Grade 9	4,376	8.3%	448,929	8.2%	4,377	8.3%	449,122	8.2%
Grade 10	4,073	7.7%	406,785	7.4%	4,073	7.7%	407,044	7.4%
Grade 11	3,917	7.4%	376,894	6.9%	3,917	7.4%	377,208	6.9%
Grade 12	3,738	7.1%	352,258	6.4%	3,767	7.1%	354,312	6.4%
Ethnic Distribution:								
African American	3,909	7.4%	691,582	12.6%	3,914	7.4%	692,925	12.6%
Hispanic	43,969	83.3%	2,892,928	52.8%	44,037	83.3%	2,899,504	52.8%
White	2,887	5.5%	1,477,699	27.0%	2,899	5.5%	1,483,688	27.0%
American Indian	63	0.1%	19,999	0.4%	63	0.1%	20,062	0.4%
Asian	1,572	3.0%	250,065	4.6%	1,572	3.0%	250,463	4.6%
Pacific Islander	49	0.1%	8,466	0.2%	49	0.1%	8,481	0.2%
Two or More Races	343	0.6%	138,434	2.5%	344	0.7%	138,817	2.5%
Sex:								
Female	25,543	48.4%	2,673,270	48.8%	25,573	48.4%	2,678,619	48.8%
a Independent School District	22.24	E4 664	2 005 002	E4 200	27.205	E4 664	2045 224	District #10191
ed by Plan4Learning.com		5	of 85				February	18, 2022 12:15 Pi

Male	27,249	51.6%	2,805,903	51.2%	27,305	51.6%	2,815,321	51.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	41,594	78.8%	3,303,974	60.3%	41,623	78.7%	3,309,610	60.2%
Non-Educationally Disadvantaged	11,198	21.2%	2,175,199	39.7%	11,255	21.3%	2,184,330	39.8%
Section 504 Students	3,026	5.7%	376,734	6.9%	3,026	5.7%	376,956	6.9%
English Learners (EL)	15,335	29.0%	1,112,674	20.3%	15,335	29.0%	1,113,536	20.3%
Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2018-19)	911	1.6%	82,551	1.5%				
Students w/ Dyslexia	2,283	4.3%	224,619	4.1%	2,283	4.3%	224,741	4.1%
Foster Care	59	0.1%	17,393	0.3%	60	0.1%	17,451	0.3%
Homeless	633	1.2%	78,178	1.4%	634	1.2%	78,296	1.4%
Immigrant	1,480	2.8%	126,747	2.3%	1,480	2.8%	126,858	2.3%
Migrant	118	0.2%	18,888	0.3%	118	0.2%	18,992	0.3%
Title I	36,498	69.1%	3,568,526	65.1%	36,554	69.1%	3,576,850	65.1%
Military Connected	28	0.1%	105,751	1.9%	28	0.1%	105,787	1.9%
At-Risk	31,119	58.9%	2,773,390	50.6%	31,144	58.9%	2,776,481	50.5%

# **STAFF DEMOGRAPHICS**

# Texas Education Agency

Texas Academic Performance Report 2019-20 District Staff Information

District Name: PASADENA ISD County Name: HARRIS District Number: 101917

		3ti ict		State
Staff Information	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Total Staff	8,313.1	100.0%	734,726.4	100.0%
Professional Staff:	4,830.1	58.1%	468,132.4	63.7%
Teachers	3,736.0	44.9%	363,121.3	49.4%
Professional Support	815.7	9.8%	74,698.8	10.2%
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	195.3	2.3%	21,960.1	3.0%
Central Administration	83.0	1.0%	8,352.3	1.1%
Educational Aides:	1,059.1	12.7%	78,096.8	10.6%
Auxiliary Staff:	2,424.0	29.2%	188,497.2	25.7%
Librarians & Counselors (Headcount):				
Librarians				
Full-time	61.0	n/a	4,373.0	n/a
Part-time	9.0	n/a	595.0	n/a
Counselors				
Full-time	116.0	n/a	12,901.0	n/a
Part-time	13.0	n/a	1,103.0	n/a
Total Minority Staff:	5,262.5	63.3%	375,758.9	51.1%
Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex:				
African American	368.4	9.9%	39,132.5	10.8%
Hispanic	1 369 1	36.6%	102 099 7	28 1%
lependent School District	6 of 85			Dis
y Plan4Learning.com	0 01 03			February 18, 20

----- District -----

- State -----

White American Indian Asian Pacific Islander Two or More Races	1,840.3	49.3%	209,453.0	57.7%
	6.0	0.2%	1,239.6	0.3%
	116.2	3.1%	6,393.2	1.8%
	0.0	0.0%	638.2	0.2%
	36.0	1.0%	4,165.2	1.1%
Males	913.8	24.5%	86,302.4	23.8%
Females	2,822.2	75.5%	276,818.8	76.2%
Teachers by Highest Degree Held: No Degree Bachelors Masters Doctorate	28.3	0.8%	4,859.9	1.3%
	2,831.5	75.8%	266,596.3	73.4%
	836.1	22.4%	89,088.4	24.5%
	40.0	1.1%	2,576.8	0.7%
Teachers by Years of Experience: Beginning Teachers 1-5 Years Experience 6-10 Years Experience 11-20 Years Experience Over 20 Years Experience	207.4	5.6%	26,878.7	7.4%
	1,215.8	32.5%	101,305.8	27.9%
	838.0	22.4%	70,305.4	19.4%
	1,043.0	27.9%	106,767.7	29.4%
	431.8	11.6%	57,863.9	15.9%

# **District Demographic Priorities**

- Data Standardization
- Reporting/Training with Skyward
- Mobility Rate
- Student and Staff Equity

## **Demographics Strengths**

- Groups are generally appropriately represented in special programs
- CTE Program has made significant advances in assisting student groups
- There are full-time designated personnel to oversee program areas
- Vertical planning is now taking place
- Program groups are collaborating

#### **Student Achievement**

#### **Student Achievement Summary**

# 2020 Accountability Rating: Declared State of Disaster

#### Priorities

- Focus on Special Education
- Focus on multi-step problem solving skills
- Train for consistency in TELPAS rating
- Increase number of teachers attending ESL institute and earning ESL certification
- Focus on writing
- Include TELPAS and ELPS as part of the vertical alignment process
- Vertical alignment continued for all subject areas
- Rigor in ELA curriculum
- PSAT/SAT prep and focus market National Merit Scholar Program
- Provide additional monitoring of ELL/Special Education students related to completion
- Provide systematic intervention and enrichment during the school day based on the specific needs of individual students
- Professional Learning Communities (PLC) extension of a systematic process in which professionals work together interdependently
- PLC focus on learning and development of common assessments to guide instruction
- 95% of students reading at grade level beginning at 2nd grade and continuing through High School
- Focus on Homeless Program

	Component Score	Scaled Score	Rating
Overall		86	В
Student Achievement		81	В
STAAR Performance	46	78	
College, Career and Military	58	88	
Readiness			
Graduation Rate	93.5	75	
School Progress		89	В
Academic Growth	69	79	С
Relative Performance (Eco	52	90	A
Dis: 86.3%)			
Closing the Gaps	56	78	C

#### **Student Achievement Strengths**

Due to the cancellation of the spring 2020 STAAR that was caused by the COVID-19 Pandemic, the district/state performance reports were not updated. The following is the 2019 performance report for the district.

#### **Assessments in Which District Met or Exceeded State Performance**

## **Accountability Rating 20-21**

Not rated due to Covid 19 pandemic

Previouly Met Standards on

Did Not Meet Standards on

- Student Achievement

- NONE

- Student Progress
- Closing Performance Gaps
- Postsecondary Readiness

In 2019, to receive a Met Standard or Met Alternative Standard rating, districts and campuses must meet targets on three indexes: Index 1 or Index 2 and Index 3.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Student Achievement Needs**

**Problem Statement 1:** Focus on student achievement needs in Special Education, High School ELA, Homeless, and ELL students.

**Problem Statement 2:** Identify and address gaps in learning due to the pandemic

#### **District Culture and Climate**

#### **District Culture and Climate Summary**

- Conduct a physical, social and mental health needs assessment that includes feedback from parents, students and staff
- Continue supporting Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports (PBIS) Implementation at a Multi-Tiered Level to help meet the physical, behavioral, social, emotional and mental health needs of our students
- Continue implementing three evidence based programs: Safe and Civil Foundations for Positive School wide Behavioral Management; Safe and Civil CHAMPS for Positive Classroom Behavioral Management and Conscious Discipline, our social emotional learning program, for building positive relationships that promote safety, connection and problem solving
- Integrate additional components into our PBIS Framework for prevention and intervention i.e. Youth Mental Health First Aid Training, Trauma Informed Care Training, Trauma Intervention, Kinesthetic Learning, and Restorative Circles and Repair Harm/Re-entry Chats.
- Attain at least 80% of our school implementing PBIS at Tier 1 at fidelity
- Attain at least 50% of our schools implementing PBIS at Tier 2 at fidelity
- Review and recommend new disciplinary policies that are more aligned with PBIS
- Provide follow up care and support services for students sent to DAEP

#### **District Culture and Climate Strengths**

- Conduct a physical, social and mental health needs assessment that includes feedback from parents, students and staff
- Continue supporting Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports (PBIS) Implementation at a Multi-Tiered Level to help meet the physical, behavioral, social, emotional and mental health needs of our students
- Continue implementing three evidence based programs: Safe and Civil Foundations for Positive School wide Behavioral Management; Safe and Civil CHAMPS for Positive Classroom Behavioral Management and Conscious Discipline, our social emotional learning program, for building positive relationships that promote safety, connection and problem solving
- Integrate additional components into our PBIS Framework for prevention and intervention i.e. Youth Mental Health First Aid Training, Trauma Informed Care Training, Trauma Intervention, Kinesthetic Learning, and Restorative Circles and Repair Harm/Re-entry Chats.
- Attain at least 80% of our school implementing PBIS at Tier 1 at fidelity
- Attain at least 50% of our schools implementing PBIS at Tier 2 at fidelity
- Review and recommend new disciplinary policies that are more aligned with PBIS
- Provide follow up care and support services for students sent to DAEP

#### **District Culture and Climate Strengths**

- State reportable or major offenses have decreased by over 5000 offenses
- Minor offenses have decreased by over 14,000 offenses
- In school suspension have decreased by over 3000
- Out of School Suspensions have decreased by over 1400
- 74% of our schools are implementing PBIS at Tier 1 (school wide and class wide) with fidelity
- Numerous partnerships with local community service providers and philanthropic organizations
- Breaking down long standing silos and developing more collaborative approaches to district wide implementation of major initiatives i.e. PLC, RtI, PBIS, Connect, AVID, etc.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying District Culture and Climate Needs**

**Problem Statement 1:** Need to provide school safety training which includes preventative, mental health and a parent/community component.

**Problem Statement 2:** Need to consider adding additional online training modules for staff that are not required but greatly needed to handle the physical, behavioral, social, emotional, and mental health needs of today's youth

**Problem Statement 3:** Feed to focus on ways to provide School Behavioral Health Specialist in each high school to assist with campus professional development, responsive services, mental health crisis, suicide and threat assessments, connecting students and families to community service providers, etc.

**Problem Statement 4:** Elementary Counselors focused in implementing the recommended ASCA model and providing needed direct services to students at least 80% of their work day

**Problem Statement 5:** Explore additional partnership with University for social worker interns.

# Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

#### Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Summary

#### **Priorities**

- Developing Teacher Incentive Allotment plan
- · Continue to survey and share results with stakeholders
- Develop advisory committee to review, provide feedback and share information
- Review and edit website to ensure easy access to hiring information
- Educate district employees on hiring activities and practices
- Possibly provide job shadowing opportunities
- Conduct round table discussions and focus groups to stay in tune with district and employee needs
- Provide training for district leaders on hiring practices, interviewing and employee selection
- Provide trained mentors for all 1st year teachers
- Provide on-going coaching support about effective teaching strategies
- Developed grow your own program for paraprofessionals to work toward becoming a teacher

#### Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Strengths

- Staff development is strong
- New teacher support and mentoring is strong
- Recruitment and retention of staff is included in district's strategic plan
- District has exit, hiring and retention data
- HR website is in place and being used
- HR recruitment strategies and relationships with universities are strong
- Competitive compensation package including self-funded medical insurance
- Ongoing training for administrators to provide campuses a positive work environment

## **Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment**

#### **Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Summary**

- Staff development to assist instructional staff implement the newly revised/written curriculum
- Resources that accurately address the Texas Standards at a College Readiness level
- Campus development of Quick Check common assessments to accurately assess student progress towards mastery of the standards
- District wide staff development to inform all instructional staff regarding STAAR and the impact that it has on instruction
- District systems in place to assist campuses in developing differentiated plans to meet the academic needs of all students and all student groups: gifted ELL, special ed, intervention, at-risk, etc.
- Appropriate intervention models for students for EOC/STAAR preparation, acceleration and/or remediation within the school day
- Personalized Learing is implementd on 47 campuses

#### Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Strengths

- Standards based PK-12 curriculum
- Just in Time professional learning opportunities for all teachers
- Instructional Leadership training for Principals, Assistant Principals, Content Specialists
- C & I, bilingual/ESL, PL and special education instructional specialists working together
- Hold with out loss on statewide assessment: Math, Science, Social Studies, ELA
- Dual Language program
- Conduct school instrctional visits at HS, IS, MS & ES levels
- English Training for new TEKS implementation
- Early College High School program
- Personalized learning Summit Platform program
- Provide training and guidance on DreamBox K-8

## Problem Statements Identifying Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Needs

Problem Statement 1: Emphasis on coaching to further implementation of initiatives: PLC Work, Cons. Discipline, Connect, AVID, SIOP

Problem Statement 2: Need training for campus personnel and district instructional specialists in formative and summative assessment development

Problem Statement 3: Focus on decreased scores in 7th grade reading and 4th and 7th grade writing and 8th grade science

**Problem Statement 4:** Ensure district systems in place to assist campuses in developing differentiated plans to meet the academic needs of all students.

**Problem Statement 5:** Focus on writing across the curriculum. District #101917 14 of 85

# **Parent and Community Engagement**

#### **Parent and Community Engagement Summary**

#### **Priorities**

- Training for staff and volunteers to make partnerships successful
- Increased family engagement
- Increased number of business partnerships to strengthen college/ career readiness and job awareness for students
- Consistency across district that offer opportunities for volunteers
- District Volunteer Coordinator to facilitate district-wide programs
- · After School Programs

#### **Parent and Community Engagement Strengths**

- Desire to work with families and community to provide what is best for our students
- Professional staff with great knowledge base that can help families
- Provide resources, trainings, workshops, and classes for our families and community
- Schools provide opportunities for families and businesses to engage in student success.
- Provide after School Programs
- English/Spanish Parent Advisory Council

#### Problem Statements Identifying Parent and Community Engagement Needs

**Problem Statement 1:** Staff need to be trained on how to recruit and retain volunteers.

**Problem Statement 2:** Volunteers need to be trained at both the district and campus level.

Problem Statement 3: Need to increase community engagement through collaboration and partnerships.

**Problem Statement 4:** Need to educate the community on district needs.

# **District Context and Organization**

#### **District Context and Organization Summary**

#### **Priorities**

- District standards in grading are needed in each subject to provide consistency among teachers and schools
- Personalized learning is implemented in 47 campuses

#### **District Context and Organization Strengths**

- Skyward
- Strong Scope and Sequence from C & I
- Common high school assessments
- Use of surveys district-wide provides to guide programs and decisions
- Use of technology is strengthening instruction
- Strong content and Instructional Specialists Tech 1:1 5-8
- Mizuni system that allows monitoring of student program and availability of reports for interventions

# **Technology**

#### **Technology Summary**

#### **Priorities**

- District Hardware Standardization Application & Process
- · Differentiating classroom technology needs
- · Identifying technology funding sources
- · Instructional & technical support staff
- Implement student device deployment at all levels
- Develop appropriate policies & procedures for 24/7 online access for staff/students Explore student storage capability
- · District level Innovation and Development
- Teacher Technology Competencies Defined
- · 21-22 gyms need help with internet

#### **Technology Strengths**

- Teacher Technology Competencies Defined Campus
- District Level Teaching & Learning w/Technology Professional Development Opportunities
- Technology Funding Resources
- Online curriculum management system
- Online professional development management system
- Learning Management System
- District-wide Wireless network environment
- PK-12 student and teacher Instructional Online Resources
- Campus Instructional Technology Liaisons
- 1:1 program at all levels

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Technology Needs**

Problem Statement 1: Need technology competency program for all stakeholders

Problem Statement 2: Timelier technology refresh plan

Problem Statement 3: Expand online programs and initiatives

Problem Statement 4: Provide devices and connectivity for all students in need

# **Priority Problem Statements**

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Improvement Planning Data**

- District goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements
- Covid-19 factors and/or waivers

#### **Accountability Data**

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Federal Report Card Data
- RDA data

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- SAT and/or ACT assessment data
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8
- SSI: Istation Indicators of Progress (ISIP) accelerated reading assessment data for Grades 3-5 (TEA approved statewide license)
- SSI: Think Through Math assessment data for Grades 3-8 and Algebra I (TEA approved statewide license)
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- · Running Records results

#### **Student Data: Student Groups**

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and progress
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and progress
- Economically Disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- EL/non-EL or LEP data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender, etc.
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) data, including coherent sequence coursework, program growth and student achievement by race, ethnicity, gender, etc.

- STEM/STEAM data
- Homeless data
- · Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia Data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data
- Dual-credit and/or college prep course completion data

#### **Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators**

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- · Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Enrollment trends

#### **Employee Data**

- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- Equity data
- TTESS data

#### Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

#### **Support Systems and Other Data**

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- · Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data

20 of 85

# Goals

Goal 1: We will ensure rigorous curriculum and meaningful experiences through innovative learning environments that meet the individual needs of each student.

**Performance Objective 1:** During 2020-2021, consistent implementation of district curriculum, research based strategies, and rigor and relevance principles will ensure that performance of all students will meet or exceed the state average.

Evaluation Data Sources: School visit documentations, lesson plans, campus and district staff development logs and agendas.

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Implement a graduated, content-specific reading and writing plan for all courses in grades Pre-K to 12.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Written curriculum. Aligned curriculum documents in Eduphoria.	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman Schoolwide and Targeted Assisted Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.6	75%	80%	
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Provide training for the writing and evaluating of authentic common assessments in a variety of formats including selected		Formative	
response items, constructed response items, performance based items, projects, experiments, and portfolios.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Sign in sheets, walk through documentation, increased use of portfolios  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	70%	70%	
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Provide district wide and campus based staff development to ensure consistent implementation of curriculum and rigor and		Formative	
relevance standards across the district.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: District staff development catalog in Eduphoria.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman  Funding Sources: Payroll - 211 - Title 1 A - Economically Disadvantaged Study - 211 - \$16,543,743, Staff Development - 255 - Title II A - Improving Teacher & Principal Q - 255 - \$179,000, Supplies - 255 - Title II A - Improving Teacher & Principal Q - 255 - \$10,000, Payroll-Extra Duty - 255 - Title II A - Improving Teacher & Principal Q - 255 - \$21,000, Miscellaneous/Operating Costs-Applicable to all Goals, Performance Objectives and Strategies within the District Improvement Plan - State Compensatory Funds - 199 - \$22,700	100%	100%	100%

Strategy 4 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 4: Define explicit performance criteria by creating and using standardized rubrics for measuring responses for writing assignments		Formative	
and/or assessments all content areas.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Integration of state and/or district created writing rubrics in curriculum documents.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	Mar 100%	June 100%	Aug
Strategy 5 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 5: Provide staff development on utilization of on-line databases and resources.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Development Catalogue in Eduphoria and Sign in sheets.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Rippy	Mar 100%	June 100%	Aug
Strategy 6 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 6: Create standard clarification for all STAAR subject/levels for teachers to use when designing instruction. These guides will include items such as student expectations, prerequisite skills, vocabulary strategies, activities, resources, literature connections, quick writes, Quadrant D activities, and useful websites.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Standard Clarification Curriculum materials and Curriculum Based Assessments. (CBA)  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman  Funding Sources: Payroll - 211 - Title 1 A - Economically Disadvantaged Study - 211	Mar 100%	June 100%	Aug
Strategy 7 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
<b>Strategy 7:</b> Develop a framework to assist teachers in implementing instruction that will include prerequisite skills and enrichment activities		Formative	
for students at various levels of development.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Differentiated instruction in Focus Training Session Agendas/PIE Time.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	Mar 100%	June 100%	Aug

Strategy 8 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
<b>Strategy 8:</b> Provide staff with the training necessary to implement differentiated research based instructional strategies such as small group instruction, cooperative learning, curriculum compacting, use of leveled readers, and authentic projects.		Formative	I .
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Differentiated instruction in Focus Training Session Agendas.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman  Schoolwide and Targeted Assisted Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  Funding Sources: Part Time or Temp Employees - 206- Texas Hurricane Homeless Program - 206 - \$80,000, Extra Duty Pay-Teachers (Afterschool Tutoring) - 206- Texas Hurricane Homeless Program - 206 - \$20,000	Mar 100%	June 100%	Aug
Strategy 9 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 9: Utilize a walk through checklist to monitor implementation of instructional strategies.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: District walkthrough form on Eduphoria.	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 10 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 10: Build content area cadres (Focus Training) to share successful implementation of research based instructional practices on each		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Peer facilitator and campus content specialists meeting agenda and logs.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	Mar 100%	June 100%	Aug
Strategy 11 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 11: Implement Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) Training on additional campuses. [Performance: SpEd Writing/ELL Writing] Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Sign in sheets, Walkthrough Observations Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Harrell	Mar	Formative June	Aug
Strategy 12 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
<b>Strategy 12:</b> Coordinate the implementation process and planning required to help each campus create master schedules that will provide common planning time for teams of teachers (either by grade level or content area) and promote time for PLC's.		Formative	Ι .
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Master Schedules	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	70%		

Strategy 13 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
<b>Strategy 13:</b> Implement orientation program for smooth transition between schools.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Program evaluation, feedback	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 14 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 14: Expand the personalized learning program.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Additional campus teams providing Connect personalized learning	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: McCalla	75%		
Strategy 15 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 15: Expand teacher mentoring and coaching through RISE.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student achievement, teacher retention	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman  Funding Sources: Payroll- Resource applicable to all Goals, Performance Objectives and Strategies within the District Improvement Plan - State Compensatory Funds - 199 - \$37,000	100%	100%	100%
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue/Modify	iue		1

**Performance Objective 2:** During 2020-2021, data disaggregation will drive the design of instruction and interventions as measured by at least a 5% reduction in end-of-year failures.

Evaluation Data Sources: Data workshop agendas and information, Eduphoria staff development logs, and student grades.

Summative Evaluation: Significant progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 1: Provide campuses with subgroup analysis of student performance on state assessments disaggregated by ethnicity, economic	Formative			
status, Migrant, Homeless, ELL, and Special Education participation. [PBM]	Mar	June	Aug	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Data Heat Maps provided in all content areas and for all grade levels.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Summers	95%			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Provide campuses with longitudinal data on the percentage of LEP students making progress towards English proficiency. [PBM]		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: AWARE reports	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Summers	90%			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
<b>Strategy 3:</b> Assist campuses in analyzing the performance of ELL, Migrant, Homeless, and Special Education students on state and national assessments. [RDA]		Formative	_	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meeting and Training agenda and sign in sheets.	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Gallegos  Funding Sources: Supplies - 211 - Title 1 A - Economically Disadvantaged Study - 211	90%			
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 4: Provide staff development and assistance to campuses on how to utilize E Sped, the district's Special Education Individualized		Formative		
Education Program (IEP) software program.	Mar	June	Aug	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meeting and Training agenda and sign in sheets.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett	70%			

Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
<b>Strategy 5:</b> Provide staff development opportunities for regular education teachers on the intervention and special education referral process.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meeting and Training agenda and sign in sheets.	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett	70%		
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: Define explicit performance criteria by creating and using standardized rubrics for measuring responses for all writing		Formative	
assignments and/or assessments of all content areas.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Integration of state and/or district created writing rubrics in district curriculum documents.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	75%		
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e		

**Performance Objective 3:** During 2020-2021, deliver staff development to all teachers and administrators that focuses on the implementation of effective instructional strategies designed to meet the needs of all students and close the achievement gap between student groups.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Staff development logs, campus improvement plans, district improvement plan, and analysis of needs addressed by the comprehensive needs assessment

Summative Evaluation: Met Objective

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide staff development on differentiation/scaffolding designed to meet the learning needs of every student. [PBM]		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Development Catalog in Eduphoria, Focus Training Agendas.	Mar	June	Aug	
Schoolwide and Targeted Assisted Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  Funding Sources: RTI - 211 - Title 1 A - Economically Disadvantaged Study - 211 - \$53,075, RTI - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 255 - \$53,075	75%			
Strategy 2 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 2: Provide district-wide training opportunities for problem solving and higher-level questioning/thinking skills needed to increase		Formative		
rigor [PBM, P16]	Mar	June	Aug	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Development Catalog in Eduphoria, Focus Training Agendas.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	100%	100%	100%	
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 3: Train campus administrators in establishing and supporting PLCs on their campus.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Development Agendas and Sign in Sheets.	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	100%	100%	100%	

Strategy 4 Details	Formative Reviews		iews
Strategy 4: Coordinate the design of district wide staff development days to allow time for consistent vertical, horizontal, and team planning,	Formative		
as well as campus-based staff development.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Development Agendas and Sign in Sheets.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 5 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 5: Establish a district wide model for PLCs.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: PLC Written Plan	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Jones	100%	100%	100%
No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue	e		

**Performance Objective 4:** By June 2021, implement reading initiatives designed to increase reading skills of all students as evidenced by 95% of students passing reading on grade level at the end of 2nd grade as measured by WRAP assessments.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Campus/District reading needs assessment, Eduphoria lesson plans, Campus Staff Development.

Summative Evaluation: Significant progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
1: Provide information to teachers and administrators about research-based programs available to promote the enjoyment of reading		Formative		
and the improvement of reading skills with sufficient books in the libraries to support the programs.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Library Meeting Agendas, sign in sheets and school library budgets.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Rippy  Schoolwide and Targeted Assisted Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5	Mar 75%	June 100%	Aug	
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide time and materials for purposeful reading during the school day.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Master Schedules and School Budgets	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	75%	100%	100%	
Strategy 3 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 3: Provide information to teachers regarding special reading needs of dyslexia students.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Records of the campus distribution of Student Accommodation Sheets.	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chapa	75%			
Strategy 4 Details	Formative Reviews			
Strategy 4: Identify student reading levels to monitor reading improvement and align reading materials to their instructional level. (Grades K	Formative			
[-6)	Mar	June	Aug	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Curriculum Documents in Eduphoria.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: S. Harrell	100%	100%	100%	

Strategy 5 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 5: Provide staff development opportunities about reading strategies for at risk readers. [PBM][RDA]		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Development Catalog, Meeting and Training Agendas and Sign in Sheets.	Mar	June	Aug
Schoolwide and Targeted Assisted Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  Funding Sources: Contracted Services-Applicable to all Goals, Performance Objectives and Strategies within the District Improvement Plan - State Compensatory Funds - 199 - \$28,000, Supplies-Resource applicable to all Goals, Performance Objectives and Strategies within the District Improvement Plan - State Compensatory Funds - 199 - \$346,000	75%		
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify Discontinu	.e		

**Performance Objective 5:** During 2020-2021, provide an array of learning opportunities geared for gifted/talented and advanced placement students to meet unique needs and provide extended support services as measured by 100% passing STAAR, 70% scoring Masters Grade Level Performance in Reading and Math.

Evaluation Data Sources: Eduphoria lesson plans, GT inservice and projects, AP class success rates and grades.

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Assist all campuses in the revision of their campus plans to confirm appropriate plans are included for advanced/gifted students.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Individual Campus plans	Mar	Mar June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Khan	75%			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
<b>Strategy 2:</b> Ensure that the G/T identification procedure remains free from bias.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Demographic reports	Mar	Mar June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Sermas  Funding Sources: Testing Materials-Supplies (i.e. ITBS, etc.) - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$58,797.96	75%			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Continue/expand G/T Summer Exploration Camp opportunities. [P16]		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Registration numbers	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Khan	75%			

Strategy 4 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 4: Promote participation in local and regional AP/PreAP staff development and assist teachers with program design and curriculum		Formative		
development. [P16]  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff development sign in sheets; curriculum posted on the eduphoria system  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Sermas	Mar 75%	June	Aug	
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 5: Continue AP/PreAP vertical team opportunities in all disciplines. [P16]  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Sign in sheets, listed workshop opportunities listed in the staff development catalog  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Sermas	Mar 75%			
Strategy 6 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 6: Increase participation in Advanced Placement Programs in secondary schools for students in all subgroups. [P16]  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Demographic reports  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Sermas	Mar 75%	Formative June	Aug	
Strategy 7 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 7: Expand Texas Performance Standards in grades K-12.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Number of school participation in the district wide GT showcase  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Sermas	Mar 75%	Formative June	Aug	
Strategy 8 Details	Formative Reviews			
Strategy 8: Provide each Pre-AP math, social studies, science and English teacher in grades 6 - 11 with access to AP Institute, Laying the Foundation Summer Institute, and other Professional Learning.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Registration Forms  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Sermas	Mar 75%	Formative June	Aug	

Strategy 9 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 9: Provide all Pre-AP/AP teachers with four training sessions annually.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Training Calendar, Staff Development Catalog, Sign in Sheets.	Mar	Aug		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Sermas	75%			
Strategy 10 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 10: Administer the PSAT/NMSQT to all 9th - 11th grade students through yearly and the PSAT to all 8th graders.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Early Participation agreement with College Board	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Sermas  Funding Sources: PSAT 8 - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$26,357, PSAT-NMSQT 9th-11th - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$48,000, Supplies - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$390,000	75%			
Strategy 11 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 11: SAT for 11th and 12th grade		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Participation Rates and Data	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	100%	100%	100%	
No Progress	e			

**Performance Objective 6:** 100% of at-risk students will receive differentiated instruction designed to create success in the classroom and increase skills necessary to perform at or above the state average on state assessments.

Evaluation Data Sources: Teacher Self Reports Part II, Eduphoria lesson plans, and student grades.

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Provide staff development opportunities which offer effective instructional strategies, materials, and activities for the at-risk	Formative			
learner.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff development plans; at risk students' grades  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Goodwin	Mar 100%	June 100%	Aug	
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Campus intervention committees will develop plans for students failing a portion of the TAKS/STAAR test and students in		Formative		
danger of failing a subject area.	Mar	June	Aug	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: RTI; student grades Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chapa	75%			
Strategy 3 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
<b>Strategy 3:</b> Ensure the implementation of 504 accommodations for eligible students.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus Reviews; 504 students' grades	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chapa	75%			
Strategy 4 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 4: Assist campuses in using data from TEKS-based assessments for early identification of individuals who might be at-risk of not	Formative			
mastering TEKS.	Mar	June	Aug	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: AWARE Reports, IAT Agendas, Data Meeting Agendas.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chapa	75%			

Strategy 5 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 5: Increase collaboration and partnership with outside agencies to provide assistance to the student through at risk programs.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meeting Agendas and Logs Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Gallegos	Mar 75%	June	Aug	
Strategy 6 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews	
Strategy 6: Implement research-based programs designed to accelerate student learning. [PBM][RDA]		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Edgenuity, Credit Recovery, Extended Day/Year, Dual Credit Data Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	Mar 100%	Mar June A		
Strategy 7 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 7: Organize required acceleration classes for STAAR failures based on current year data.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Edgenuity, Credit Recovery, Extended Day/Year  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	Mar 75%	Formative June	Aug	
Strategy 8 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews	
Strategy 8: Provide intervention services through intervention and extended year programs incorporating TEKS requirements based on current year data.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Standardized district extended year curriculum and assessments.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	Formative Mar June		Aug	
Strategy 9 Details	Formative Reviews			
Strategy 9: Provide additional and individualized support to parents of at-risk students.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Logs, Agendas, and Sign in Sheets Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Gallegos	Mar 75%	June	Aug	

Strategy 10 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 10: Communicate alternative education opportunities for students at risk of dropping out which include Tegeler, Community School	Formative		
and Virtual School.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student handbook and Program brochures.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: K. McCarley	70%		
Strategy 11 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
<b>Strategy 11:</b> Incorporate the transition plan at each campus to support ELL students as they move from 4 to 5, 6 to 7, and 8 - 9th grade.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Transition plan documentation	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Harrell	75%		<u> </u>
No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue	e		

**Performance Objective 7:** During 2020-2021, all staff will receive professional development targeted to assist English language learners and ensure that their academic performance will equal or surpass that of the state's.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Staff Development logs and agendas.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 1: Provide training and Spanish language materials for all teachers working with ELL students. Integrate spanish language books		Formative	
within the library collection. [PBM][RDA]	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Development Catalog, Curriculum Documents in Eduphoria, Training Agendas and			
Sign in Sheets Staff Responsible for Monitoring: S. Harrell	100%	100%	100%
Schoolwide and Targeted Assisted Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6			
Funding Sources: Supplies - 263 - Title III A - Bilingual Education, Language - 263			
Strategy 2 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 2: Provide ESL and ELPS training opportunities for all teachers. [PBM][RDA]		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Professional Development Catalog	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: S. Harrell			
Funding Sources: Payroll - 263 - Title III A - Bilingual Education, Language - 263	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 3: Prepare bilingual/ELL students for an appropriate and timely transition. [PBM] [RDA] [Performance: ELL Writing/SS		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Development Catalog, Curriculum Documents in Eduphoria, Training Agendas and	Mar	June	Aug
Sign in Sheets			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: S. Harrell	75%	75%	
Schoolwide and Targeted Assisted Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.5			

Strategy 4 Details	For	rmative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Evaluate/revise district-wide guidelines and recommendations for appropriate participation of recent immigrants within the State		Formative	
Assessment Program.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: AWARE Data, Student Schedules  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Merchan	Mar 75%	June 75%	Aug
Strategy 5 Details	For	rmative Revi	ews
Strategy 5: Establish clear, high expectations for curriculum serving recent immigrants.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Development Catalog, Curriculum Documents in Eduphoria, Training Agendas and Sign in Sheets	Mar	Formative June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: S. Harrell  Funding Sources: Payroll - 263 - Title III A - Bilingual Education, Language - 263	75%	100%	100%
Strategy 6 Details	For	rmative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: Communicate and implement the ESL scope and sequence at all grade levels.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Development Catalog, Curriculum Documents in Eduphoria, Training Agendas and Sign in Sheets  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: S. Harrell	Mar 75%	Formative June 90%	Aug
Strategy 7 Details	For	rmative Revi	ews
Strategy 7: Provide staff development opportunities to incorporate specific strategies which support recent immigrants.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Development Catalog, Curriculum Documents in Eduphoria, Training Agendas and Sign in Sheets  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: S. Harrell	Mar 75%	Formative June 75%	Aug
Strategy 8 Details	For	rmative Revi	ews
Strategy 8: Integrate relevant literacy skills across all content areas.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Curriculum documents in Eduphoria. Walkthrough data.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman  Schoolwide and Targeted Assisted Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.6  Funding Sources: Payroll - 263 - Title III A - Bilingual Education, Language - 263	Mar 100%	June 100%	Aug

Strategy 9 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
<b>Strategy 9:</b> Provide on-line databases, ebooks, books, and other resources for specific TEKS.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Use of department budgeted funds.	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Rippy  Funding Sources: Supplies - 211 - Title 1 A - Economically Disadvantaged Study - 211 - \$1,925,931.90, Supplies - 263 - Title III A - Bilingual Education, Language - 263 - \$219,822.06	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 10 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 10: Ensure that all science classes incorporate hands-on and outdoor laboratory activities in alignment with new state Science TEKS.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Imbedded in curriculum documents in Eduphoria. Teacher lesson plans in Eduphoria.	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman  Schoolwide and Targeted Assisted Title I Elements: 2.4, 2.6  Funding Sources: Payroll - 263 - Title III A - Bilingual Education, Language - 263	100%	100%	100%
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinu	e		ı

**Performance Objective 8:** During 2020-2021, school year, each campus will sustain a focus on maintaining a safe and disciplined environment conducive to student learning and employee effectiveness as measured by 100% of all staff receiving training in the District's behavioral/safety initiatives.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Campus plans and meeting agendas.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 1: Provide training in Positive Behavior Interventions & Supports (PBIS) strategies with campus staff using Safe/Civil Series &		Formative	
Conscious Discipline.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduction in discipline referrals, staff development sign in sheets, calendar Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Khalil	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 2: Provide or coordinate training that prevents or addresses bullying (Conscious Discipline, Restorative Practices, Mediation and		Formative	
Conflict Resolution) for students and staff under the direction of the District's Behavior Response Team (BRT). Bullying occurs when a student or group of students exploits an imbalance of power between the student perpetrator who is engaging in bullying and the student	Mar	June	Aug
victim through written or verbal expression, expression through electronic means, or physical conduct that occurs on school property, at a school-related activity, or in a vehicle operated by the District and that: has the effect or will have the effect of physically harming a student, damaging a student's property, or placing a student in reasonable fear of harm or is sufficiently severe, persistent, and pervasive enough that the action or threat creates an intimidating, threatening, or abusive educational environment for a student. The complete policy can be found at FFI (Local).  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Development Calendar, Sign in sheets  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Khalil	75%		
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 3: Provide staff development to teachers, administrators and support staff on the district's dating violence policy, discrimination, and		Formative	
harassment. See full policy at FFH (Local.) Dating violence occurs when a person in a current or past dating relationship uses physical, sexual, verbal, or emotional abuse to harm,threaten, intimidate, or control the other person in the relationship. Dating violence is considered	Mar	June	Aug
prohibited harassment if the conduct is so severe, persistent, or pervasive that the conduct: affects a student's ability to participate in or benefit from an educational program or activity, or creates an intimidating, threatening, hostile, or offensive educational environment; has the purpose or effect of substantially or unreasonably interfering with the student's academic performance; or otherwise adversely affects the student's educational opportunities.	75%		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Sign in sheets, Surveys, Online training documentation			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Kennemer			

For	mative Revi	iews	
	Formative		
Mar 75%	June	Aug	
For	mative Revi	iews	
	Formative		
Mar	June	Aug	
75%			
For	mative Revi	iews	
	Formative		
Mar	June	Aug	
75%			
For	mative Revi	ews	
	Formative		
Mar	June	Aug	
100%	100%	100%	
	Mar 75%  For Mar 75%  For Mar 75%	Mar June  Formative Revi Formative Mar June  Formative Revi Formative Formative Mar June  Formative Revi Formative Mar June  Formative Revi Formative Revi Formative Revi Formative Revi	

**Performance Objective 9:** By June 2021, coordinated school health plans will be implemented to include activities to increase student and parental awareness of healthy living practices.

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus staff development logs, meeting agendas, campus plans, Eduphoria lesson plans

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 1: Provide annual staff development for instructional and administrative staff to ensure consistent implementation of district wide		Formative		
and campus wide coordinated school health initiatives.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff development documentation, campus developed plans  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Macneish	Mar 75%	June	Aug	
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Coordinate a campus health advisory committee to develop and support coordinated school health goals and objectives to ensure		Formative		
that they are implemented	Mar	June	Aug	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Complete the School Health Index and develop action steps for needed improvements  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Macneish	75%			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Utilize a monitoring system that will evaluate the level of implementation and effectiveness of coordinated school health		Formative		
initiatives on a yearly basis  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Review the snapshot report from the Alliance for a Healthier Generations/Healthy Schools Program assessment (based on the School Health index).  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Macneish	Mar 75%	June	Aug	
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 4: Provide Fitnessgram Data for instructional planning		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide Fitnessgram scores on pre/post tests for PE teachers to use for instructional planning	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Macneish	75%	90%	100%	

Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 5: Design district calendar and campus master schedules to allow for district wide and campus based coordinated school health		Formative	
programs and activities.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meeting Documentation, Campus Plans developed Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Macneish	75%		
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 6: Provide Parent Education Opportunities for coordinated school health at each campus		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meeting Agendas and Sign in Sheets	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Macneish	50%		
Strategy 7 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 7: Implement a District Wellness Policy		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Compliance Report	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Macneish	75%		
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	ue	I	

**Performance Objective 10:** During 2020-2021, the district will develop research based K-12 grading policies and procedures that link student assessment to mastery of student expectations.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Completion of revised Grading Policy

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Establish district wide grading standards that are linked to student expectations and are based on best practices.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meeting and training agendas, sign in sheets, revised student report cards.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy 2 Details	75% For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Record grades that accurately communicate mastery or non mastery on a specific student expectations.	101	Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Revised student report cards.	Max		Ana
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	Mar	June	Aug
Sum responsible for Frontierings Theman	75%		
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Develop grade and/or content specific grading rubrics.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Integration of state and/or district created writing rubrics in district curriculum documents.	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	75%	75%	
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
<b>Strategy 4:</b> Establish district guidelines regarding the appropriate use of homework.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: District grading policies, procedures, regulations and student handbook.	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	100%	100%	100%

Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 5: Establish district wide grade and/or content appropriate retest standards.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: District grading policies, procedures, regulations and student handbook.	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 6: Using an RTI model, students on secondary campuses will have the opportunity to master course content resulting in recovery of		Formative	
the summative course grade for every grading period.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Edgenuity reports and credit recovery reports.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 7 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 7: Using an RTI model, elementary and middle school students who are failing the grade level will be identified for and receive		Formative	
appropriate interventions in a timely manner.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: IAT Process/PIE			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	100%	100%	100%
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinu	e	•	•

**Performance Objective 11:** During 2020-2021, increase the district's attendance rate to 96% or above for all students.

Evaluation Data Sources: AEIS Report, Attendance Data

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Utilize campus intervention programs (CIS) to provide specific strategies for students with potential attendance problems. CIS		Formative	
provides services to 15 campuses during the school day and 2 campuses for after school programs.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved attendance, Fewer Dropouts, Completion Rates Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Gallegos	75%		
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Investigate actions to support campuses in improving attendance rates. (Truancy Prevention Plan)		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Number of completed Truancy Prevention Measures as identified in the RaaWee K12	Mar	June	Aug
Truancy Software Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Burt	75%		
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Implementation of Drop Out Recovery Grant strategies.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Number of Graduates served by the grant	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Carpa	100%	100%	100%
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue	.e		

**Performance Objective 12:** By August, 2021, all PISD schools will collaboratively work with the District Special Education Office to ensure that all the needs of each student are being met including 100% compliance with all federal and state requirements.

Evaluation Data Sources: District Special Education Guidelines, Inservice by Special Education Department to district staff, ARD paperwork

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Monitor Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) paperwork to ensure IDEA components are addressed during the ARD		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Central Office Special Education Staff have conducted Internal Audits at schools throughout the year; when noncompliance was found, corrective action plans were put in place and campus administrators were contacted.  Internal audits indicate increased knowledge in the area of transition and other compliance components. Evidence - calendar of audits, training sign in sheets; email communications with campuses  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett	Mar 75%	June	Aug	
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Provide staff development opportunities for teachers working with special education students experiencing reading difficulties.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The Special Education Department has a provided staff development to all elementary and middle school campuses to ensure that each resource reading teacher has been trained Project Read (research based reading training). In addition, technical assistance has been provided throughout the school year for all teachers that received the training. The high percentage of teachers trained as well as feedback from survey and on site technical assistance indicates teachers have increased their skills in the area of reading instruction with students with disabilities. Evidence - Sign in sheets, Technical Assistance Consultant Recommendations, Surveys.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett	Mar 75%	June	Aug	
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Campuses will work collaboratively with the District Special Education Office to ensure initial evaluations to determine special		Formative		
education eligibility are completed within the required timelines.	Mar	June	Aug	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Central Office staff have provided detailed information to Principals on a quarterly basis regarding the Initial Evaluations that have been requested in an effort to assist campuses in meeting required timelines for initial evaluations and ARD meetings on their campuses. Evidence - Sign in Sheets from trainings; Emails and Procedural updates in Special Education Handbook.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett	75%			

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 4: Campuses will follow district procedures regarding parental request for special education evaluation.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Central Office Special Education Department conducted training at the beginning of the	Mar	June	Aug	
school year to all principals, evaluation staff and Intervention Team Chairs. The district has been in compliance as indicated by zero instances of parent complaints. Evidence - Sign in Sheets from training; "0" parent complaints from TEA.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett	75%			
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 5: Campuses will work collaboratively with the District Special Education Office to document appropriate State Assessment		Formative		
Decision-Making Process for students with disabilities by (1) use of data from progress monitoring. CBAs, etc. to make determinations	Mar	June	Aug	
regarding state assessment and ensure progress, (2) ensure ARD Document clearly delineates the need for STAAR Alt 2 (ie., PLAFFs, IEPs, deliberations) and (3) utilizing TEA required STAAR Alt 2 participation forms.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Central Office Special Education Department conducted training to all Principals, Evaluation staff members and ARD Liaisons; Central Office Special Education Department conducted random audits at campuses to determine compliance. Data indicates increased documentation in the ARD documents regarding STAAR Alt 2 participation and data based decision making. Evidence - Audit review logs; sign in Sheets from Trainings.	75%			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett				
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 6: Campuses will work collaboratively with the District Special Education Office to ensure compliance with Senate Bill 1196		Formative		
dealing with Restraint Training and Texas Behavior Support Initiative requirements.	Mar	June	Aug	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Central Office Special Education Office provided training and guidance to campus administrators to ensure compliance with SB 1196. Evidence - Communications with Principals; Staff Participation in CPI sign in sheets.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett	75%			
Strategy 7 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 7: Campuses will follow district policy to ensure students with disabilities have access to facilities.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Central Office Special Education Office provided training and guidance to campus	Mar	June	Aug	
administrators to ensure students with disabilities have access to facilities. Campus Principals ensure that written plans as appropriate for for students with disabilities to ensure access. Central Office Staff Reviewed Written Plans from High Schools.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett	75%			

Strategy 8 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
rategy 8: Campuses will follow district procedures in addressing Transition requirements for students with disabilities.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Central Office Special Education Staff have conducted Internal Audits at all 5 High Schools		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Central Office Special Education Staff have conducted Internal Audits at all 5 High Schools throughout the year; when noncompliance was found, corrective action plans were put in place and campus administrators were contacted. Internal audits indicate increased knowledge in the area of Transition. Intermediate campuses were provided with ongoing training in the area of Transition to ensure compliance in this area. Evidence - Sign in Sheets, audit documentation.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett	Mar 75%	June	Aug
Strategy 9 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 9: Campus will review student data on an individual basis and consider the least restrictive environment prior to placing students in		Formative	
a special education setting.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Central office Special Education staff will review campus LRE data on a quarterly basis.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Central office Special Education staff will review campus LRE data on a quarterry basis.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett	75%		
Strategy 10 Details	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 10: Provide staff development to special education teachers, administrators and support staff to ensure IDEA required components		Formative	
are implemented appropriately for students with disabilities.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Development Opportunities, agenda's, sign in sheets	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett	75%		
Strategy 11 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
<b>Strategy 11:</b> Provide additional personnel and training to assist teachers in meeting the needs of special populations.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Development, agendas, sign in sheets, survey results	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Gallegos	75%		
Strategy 12 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 12: Implement Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) training at campuses.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Classroom walk-throughs, sign in sheets	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: S. Harrell	75%	75%	

Strategy 13 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 13: Campus has reviewed the previous year state assessment scores for each student with a disability. If the student did not perform		Formative	
satisfactorily on their state assessment test for any subject, an ARD committee will be held within 4 weeks, or as soon as possible, to discuss Accelerated Instruction/Intensive Program of Instruction.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: ARD minutes			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett	75%		
Strategy 14 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 14: Ensure parent surveys sent annually for all Special Education students.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Review feedback, survey return rate	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett	75%		
Strategy 15 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 15: Provide the full range of curriculum as appropriate to all students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Special Education staff will consider the full range of services offered to make appropriate recommendations to the ARD committees regarding placement and programming of students with disabilities while considering Least Restrictive Environments.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett	Mar 75%	June	Aug
Strategy 16 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 16: Implement Child Find practices throughout the districts to locate and identify all students with disabilities.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Continue training all staff to locate and identify students who may have a disability.	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett	65%		
Strategy 17 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 17: Utilize specialized supplies and equipment to meet individualized student needs.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student Needs Met	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Barrett			
Schoolwide and Targeted Assisted Title I Elements: 2.6	75%		

50 of 85

Performance Objective 13: Student and teacher access to technology will increase across PISD according to the District Technology Plan.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** District Technology Plan implementation schedule and completion documentation.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Develop procedures and guidelines for the management of the student learning and storage management solution		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Technology Task Force Meeting Minutes, sign-in sheets, agendas, High School Technology	Mar	June	Aug
Liaison Round Table minutes, procedures/guidelines documents posted in Technology Handbook.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Allen	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: The district wide technology committee will present a list of needed equipment along with phase one acquisition plan to the		Formative	
Superintendent, Cabinet and Board	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Bond Proposal and Passage Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Allen	75%		
Strategy 3 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 3: Develop protocols and prerequisites for each job classification that will support integration of technology into the curriculum and		Formative	
coaching Connect personalized learning.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Technology Task Force meeting minutes, sign-in sheets, agendas, procedures/guidelines	Mar	June	Aug
documents posted in Technology Handbook			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Allen, McCalla	75%		
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Research the readiness of Pasadena ISD to implement online assessments at all levels for a variety of assessments.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Infrastructure Report, Technology Inventory, Technology Task Force Minutes	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Allen	75%		
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e		

Performance Objective 14: Ensure ongoing review of systems and structures through strategic planning strategies.

Evaluation Data Sources: Review of data, Agenda's, Development of Teams, Focus on 2021

Summative Evaluation: Met Objective

**Performance Objective 1:** During 2020-2021, all high school students will be provided with various options for obtaining course credits utilizing technologically based delivery systems including opportunities to earn college credit prior to graduating from high school.

Evaluation Data Sources: Credit class documentation at each high school, Technology delivery system report, and Student surveys

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 1: Facilitate an early college high school where students graduate with a high school diploma and an Associate's Degree at each		Formative	
campus high school.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student Records Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman  Funding Sources: Supplies - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$234,527, Tuition SCI - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$194,527, Textbooks - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$155,473	Mar 100%	June	Aug
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 2: Provide virtual alternatives for core, elective, and enrichment courses at all levels in order to provide access to a flexible		Formative	
environment. (CR 7.1.)  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Texas Virtual School Network Course Catalog, Pasadena Virtual School Course Catalog  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Benner	Mar - 75%	June	Aug
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 3: Develop and conduct a needs assessment to determine additional courses to be offered by the Pasadena Virtual School and spread	Formative		
awareness of the courses offered.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impacts Virtual Education Needs Assessment Survey Residence Virtual School Elver	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Virtual Education Needs Assessment Survey, Pasadena Virtual School Flyer Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Benner	75%		

Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Develop and conduct an internal marketing program to spread awareness of the courses offered by the Texas Virtual School		Formative	
Network and the Pasadena Virtual School.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Social Networking Site, State-Wide marketing communication, i.e. Pasadena Virtual School Flyer, District-wide publications  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Benner	Mar 75%	June	Aug
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 5: Investigate scheduling options available to campuses.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Committee Sign In Sheets, Agenda	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	75%		
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: Provide a more flexible and personal learning environment.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Gates Grant, Race to the Top	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: McCalla	75%		
Strategy 7 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 7: Provide free home internet access for all students.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Procedure development, Usage of service	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Allen	75%		
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue	ue		

Performance Objective 2: Students will annually receive information and guidance necessary to facilitate successful entry in post-secondary education.

Evaluation Data Sources: Four Year Plans, Counselor Documentation

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: Encourage more students to attend college by promoting College Career Nights and providing opportunities to visit college	Formative		
campuses.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Flyer distribution, mail-outs, website postings, sign-in sheets, student surveys  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Del Barrio	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 2: Communicate information about college/career readiness and post-secondary opportunities to students and parents. [ AVID,		Formative	
College Now]	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: District and campus College Now Coordinators to promote participation in higher education Staff Responsible for Monitoring: K. McCarley  Funding Sources: Contracted Services - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$421,775.01, Contracted Services includes consultant fees/travel expenses; W9 form completed - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$40,000	75%		
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 3: Provide college entrance exam information to local media for public release.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Press releases	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Del Barrio	100%	100%	100%

Strategy 4 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews	
<b>Strategy 4:</b> Provide opportunities for students to complete TSI requirements for college readiness and admissions.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Regular counselor training sessions/meetings, agendas Staff Responsible for Monitoring: K. McCarley  Funding Sources: Supplies - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$48,000, Testing Materials - 289 -	Mar 75%	June	Aug	
Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$30,000				
Strategy 5 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: Encourage students to prepare for and register and take the PSAT, SAT, ACT and other college entrance exams in their junior		Formative		
year and senior year. Provide training on importance of and procedures related to college entrance exams.	Mar	June	Aug	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Attendance at Campus activities, flyers, mail-outs, website postings Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Johnson	75%			
Strategy 6 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
<b>Strategy 6:</b> Work with all five high schools to establish the Community School options and additional opportunities for flexible scheduling at	Formative			
the campuses.	Mar	June	Aug	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in graduation rates, decrease in drop out rates Staff Responsible for Monitoring: A. Harrell	100%	100%	100%	
Strategy 7 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews	
<b>Strategy 7:</b> Provide opportunities for all students to participate in the Early Participation Program, the district will pay for the registration fee		Formative		
and administer the PSAT/NMSQT to tenth graders enrolled in high school.	Mar	June	Aug	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus schedules, Increased Numbers Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Sermas	75%			
Strategy 8 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 8: Maintain Personal Graduation Plans for students in grades 6 - 12. [PBM] [RDA]	Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: PGP plans in Mizuni	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	100%	100%	100%	

Strategy 9 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 9: Establish college and career promotion campaigns on each campus in grades K - 12, including promotion of CTE pathways.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Flyer distribution, campus calendars, mail-outs, announcements	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: K. McCarley	75%		
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	ue		

**Performance Objective 3:** During 2020-2021, counselor's roles will be reorganized to effectively meet the needs of all students as evidenced by a restructured schedule.

Evaluation Data Sources: Counselor Role Reorganization, Implementation Schedule

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
egy 1: Duties currently assigned to counselors will be evaluated and those that do not fall within the scope of the guidance and		Formative	
counseling program will be reassigned to other personnel.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Minutes from counselor reorganization committee, survey data, proposed counselor reorganization plan presented to Superintendent and Board of Trustees, June 2021  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	75%		
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 2: Establish an advisory committee of involved stakeholders to work with the district level counselors throughout the		Formative	
implementation process.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: District calendar, agendas, sign-in sheets			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 3: Train all counselors on the ASCA Guidance and Counseling Model and Texas Model for comprehensive school counseling		Formative	
program.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Sign in Sheets Staff Responsible for Monitoring: K. McCarley	75%		
Strategy 4 Details	For	 mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Educate administrators and teachers at each campus about implementation plans, the role of the school counselor, and the data	Formative		
supported student achievement results that will occur as a result of fully implementing a comprehensive guidance and counseling program.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Minutes, Agendas Staff Responsible for Monitoring: K. McCarley	75%		
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinu	ue		

**Performance Objective 4:** By May 2021, all students will have been immersed in a literature and technology rich environment that will provide them with information related to 21st century career opportunities.

Evaluation Data Sources: Student understanding of Career Clusters, Career Day Information, and College Week Involvement

Summative Evaluation: Met Objective

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Administer diagnostic/interest tests (Kuder) that give students, counselors, parents and teachers information about the students'		Formative	
abilities/talents and how these are applicable to career opportunities. [P16]	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Records of test administration, flyers, mail-outs to parents, Kuder report dissemination.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hagar	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 2: Provide opportunities for CTE students to participate in leadership events in an effort to assist with career readiness.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Greater exposure to career opportunities for students.	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hagar	75%	75%	
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 3: Provide support/materials/training for secondary teachers and counselors to facilitate career pathway development. [PBM, P16]		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Training agendas, handouts, sign-in sheets	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hagar	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 4: Provide opportunities for teachers to receive specialized professional development in licensures and certifications for CTE	Formative		
programs.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Training agendas, handouts, sign-in sheets Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hagar	75%	100%	100%

Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 5: Provide students with real world experiences that explore a wide range of careers. [P16]		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Curriculum documents, training agendas, lesson plans, handouts, sign-in sheets, campus activities, campus calendars	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	75%		
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 6: Provide opportunities for parents and students to investigate internship/apprenticeship opportunities. [P16]		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meeting agendas, flyers, mail-outs, campus activities	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hagar	75%	75%	
Strategy 7 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 7: Market and promote CTE pathways.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus activities, flyers, mail-outs, assemblies	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hagar	75%	100%	100%
Strategy 8 Details	For	mative Rev	iews
Strategy 8: Prepare students for post-secondary career opportunities by providing industry-standard equipment, supplies, and training		Formative	
necessary for attaining certifications.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Records of student certifications earned, student and teacher survey results, business partner survey results  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hagar	75%	100%	100%
Strategy 9 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 9: Equip teachers and administrators with necessary equipment, supplies, and training that will allow them to provide rigorous and		Formative	
relevant learning opportunities for students.	Mar	June	Aug
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Curriculum documents, lesson plans, field trips, internship opportunities, student and teacher survey results, business partner survey results	7504	85%	100%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hagar	75%	85%	100%
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinu	e		

Goal 3: We will actively recruit, develop, and retain a highly qualified staff.

**Performance Objective 1:** By January, 2021, 100% of all professional and paraprofessional personnel hired will be Highly Qualified and support systems featuring training opportunities will be implemented to facilitate recruiting and retention of effective employees.

Evaluation Data Sources: Status Reports, Highly Qualified Annual Compliance Report, Job Fair documentation, and Staff Development Logs.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Provide support and staff development opportunities to increase skills in building relationships.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Eduphoria	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: S. Harrell	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Provide Dual Language training opportunities for campus staff.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Eduphoria, Sign In Sheets	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: S. Harrell	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 3 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 3: Provide supplemental materials and supplies for dual language teachers.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Documentation that supplemental materials are utilized in classrooms	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: S. Harrell	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Create salary schedules competitive with surrounding districts.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Ongoing data analysis	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Lopez			

Strategy 5 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 5: Recruit and maintain highly qualified teachers by providing mentoring and staff development opportunities.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased teacher retention	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Lopez	75%		
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discont	inue		

Goal 4: We will use a culturally responsive approach to relentlessly pursue meaningful engagement with parental, business, and community stakeholders.

**Performance Objective 1:** By May 2021, a parental involvement program will be established and implemented at each campus and at the district level to increase collaborative partnerships and encourage all parents to be active partners in their children's education.

Evaluation Data Sources: A parental involvement program was developed and led by PISD personnel focusing on the achievement of the district's mission and goals.

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Include parent education component in high school orientation programs.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Participation rates, Orientation agenda	Mar	Mar June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Gallegos Schoolwide and Targeted Assisted Title I Elements: 3.1	75%			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Provide parent education at all campuses on various topics.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parent Logs	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Gallegos				
Schoolwide and Targeted Assisted Title I Elements: 3.1	75%			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 3: Investigate district's Truancy Prevention Plan		Formative		
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Number of completed Truancy Prevention Measures as identified in the RaaWee K12 Truancy Software	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Burt	75%			
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 4: Offer workshops for parents of students with dyslexia and related disorders as well as instructional intervention.	Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Participation rates, Survey Results	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Chapa	75%		-	

Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 5: Encourage and provide parent workshops at individual campuses regarding reading with children, online resources and ebooks.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Agendas, Sign In Sheets	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Gallegos	65%		
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 6: Provide opportunities for parents to attend grade level and/or content specific meetings to share expectations and strategies.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Agenda, Sign In Sheets	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Gallegos Schoolwide and Targeted Assisted Title I Elements: 3.1, 3.2	75%		J
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinu	e		

Goal 4: We will use a culturally responsive approach to relentlessly pursue meaningful engagement with parental, business, and community stakeholders.

**Performance Objective 2:** Increase community involvement during 2020-2021 by a 5% expansion in partnerships and enhanced communication.

Evaluation Data Sources: Business, community, partners have increased.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 1: Expand the "Walk for Success" to involve school, business, and community in dropout prevention.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduction in drop out rates, number of student contacts made	Mar	Mar June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: T. McCarley	100%	100%	100%	
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 2: Promote student/parent/business involvement through volunteerism. (Volunteer programs on each campus are growing and		Formative		
soliciting volunteers.)	Mar	June	Aug	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Give Me Five Parent Volunteer Program; establishing new partnerships with businesses				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Nguyen	75%			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 3: Promote service organizations for students in school (Junior Optimists, Junior Rotarians, Student Council, etc.) As a result,		Formative		
community organizations recognize these students at community events.	Mar	June	Aug	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus Plans, Service Organization enrollment and attendance data Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Del Barrio	100%	100%	100%	
Strategy 4 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 4: Provide opportunities for campuses to share existing/proposed incentives and recognition. (Board meetings, media, public venues)	Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Partners in Pasadena Liaison campus representatives established and meet twice annually	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Del Barrio	100%	100%	100%	

Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: Implement district spotlight and/or awards for exceptional service. (Vanguard, Volunteers of the Year, TOY, POY, Honor Wall)		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Volunteer awards to be given in June	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Del Barrio	100%	100%	100%	
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Rev	iews	
Strategy 6: Develop a marketing plan for business and community partners.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Implementation of Marketing Plan	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Del Barrio	75%			
Strategy 7 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 7: Partner and collaborate with private school serving PISD students.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Partnerships	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Gallegos	75%			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinu	e	•	•	

**Performance Objective 1:** During the 2020-2021, school year, each campus will sustain a focus on maintaining a safe and disciplined environment conducive to student learning and employee effectiveness as measured by 100% of all staff receiving training in the District's behavioral/safety initiatives.

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus plans, Student Code of Conduct, Meeting agendas.

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: Provide or coordinate training in mental health, trauma informed care, and kinesthetic learning.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduction in discipline referrals, staff development sign in sheets, calendar	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Khalil	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 2: Provide training in behavior management.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff Development Calendar, Sign in sheets	Mar	June	Aug
Funding Sources: Payroll - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$429,856.83, Subs for Teacher Staff Dev - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$42,248.03, Contracted Services includes Consultant Fees and Travel Expenses W9 form completed (CD Week Institute) - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$42,177,591, Restorative Practices - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$21,000, Materials and Supplies (Classroom Books and Consumables) - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$71,285.22, Payroll-Para's - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$66,017.93, Extra Duty for Teachers (Afterschool Tutoring) - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$3,000, CD Training - 289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm - 289 - \$328,590.87	100%	100%	100%

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 3: Provide staff development to teachers, administrators and support staff on the district's dating violence policy, discrimination, and		Formative	
harassment. See full policy at FFH (Local.) Dating violence occurs when a person in a current or past dating relationship uses physical, sexual, verbal, or emotional abuse to harm, threaten, intimidate, or control the other person in the relationship. Dating violence is considered	Mar	June	Aug
prohibited harassment if the conduct is so severe, persistent, or pervasive that the conduct: affects a student's ability to participate in or benefit from an educational program or activity, or creates an intimidating, threatening, hostile, or offensive educational environment; has the purpose or effect of substantially or unreasonably interfering with the student's academic performance; or otherwise adversely affects the student's educational opportunities.	75%		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Professional Development Catalog, Training Agendas, and Sign in Sheets.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Kennemer			
Strategy 4 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 4: Utilize behavior specialists to provide intervention strategies and develop an Intervention Plan for students with chronic		Formative	
misbehaviors.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Professional Development Catalog, Training Agendas, and Sign in Sheets.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Khalil	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 5: Utilize JJAEP Excel Academy for academic needs of students assigned to JJAEP.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Report Cards	Mar June		Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Stallings	75%		
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e		

**Performance Objective 2:** By June 2021 develop a school counseling program that increases the percentage of time spent with students regarding academic, social and mental health needs.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Suicide prevention training provided to Counselors with a focus on how to utilize screening tool, how to refer parents to		Formative	
community resources, and information to share with staff regarding signs that students may be at risk for suicide. http://www.texassuicideprevention.org	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Counselors submit the number of students assessed at medium and high risk	750		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: K. McCarley	75%		
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Powerpoint utilized for suicide assessment and prevention is available to all counselors in Counselor OneNote.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: www.mhatexas.org participation	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: K. McCarley	75%		
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Develop and implement an age appropriate and vertically aligned guidance curriculum PK-12.		Formative	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: K. McCarley	Mar	June	Aug
	75%		
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e		

**Performance Objective 3:** By June 2021, the district will sustain a focus on safety for all students and employees as measured by increased awareness and protocol implementation.

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Expand training to campus Medical Emergency Response Teams (MERT) by incorporating Stop the Bleed.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide response to medical crisis on campus involving cardiac, seizure, and first aid.	Mar	Mar June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Weisedel	75%			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide opportunities for employees to be trained in personal safety.		Formative		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: T. McCarley	Mar	June	Aug	
	75%			
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 3: Focused safety trainings will be implemented at Administrative Council.		Formative		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: T McCarley	Mar	June	Aug	
	75%			
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 4: Provide additional training on threat assessment and mental health.	Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Expansion of Threat Assessment Teams	Mar	June	Aug	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: t. McCarley, Massey, K McCarley	75%			

Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 5: Coordinate School Safety & Security Committee meetings		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Review safety procedures and make recommendations, Gather input from stakeholders Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Duckett	Mar	June	Aug
	75%		
Strategy 6 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 6: Provide guidance for campuses in development of Emergency Operation Plans and safety drills.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Effective drill implementation and development of EOPs	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ASCDs	75%		
Strategy 7 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 7: Develop district-wide reunification plan in the event of an evacuation and implementation of CrisisGo		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Effective reunification of student/parent/guardian	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: T. McCarley, Massey, Duckett	75%		
Strategy 8 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 8: Provide training to registered nursed and first responders in recognizing and responding to emergent exacerbations of health		Formative	
conditions.	Mar	June	Aug
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased proficiency of nurses and first responders in stabilizing condition until arrival of EMS.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Weisedel	75%		
Strategy 9 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 9: Continue to harden facilities with upgrades/new security video systems and building access through the use of BlueWave doors.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Installation and use of new systems	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Duckett, Fornof	100%	100%	100%
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e	•	

Performance Objective 4: By June 2021, establish programs to increase opportunities to foster patriotism, civic duty and global citizenship.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Ensure utilization of resources to teach significance and protocols of state and national symbols, examine differences in cultures		Formative	
and experience civic duty responsibilities.	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Hickman	75%		
No Progress	e		

**Goal 6:** We will promote an exemplary learning environment through the utilization of ancillary service departments that integrate established and innovative practices, standards, and systems.

Performance Objective 1: Utilize technological resources to streamline support services as evidenced by positive customer service responses

Evaluation Data Sources: Customer Service Feedback, Survey Results

Summative Evaluation: Met Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Create an efficient work order system.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Feedback, Time Efficiency	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Douglas	100%	100%	100%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Research software to assist in problem solving in the field.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Information Obtained, Next Steps Process Development	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Douglas	100%	100%	100%
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e		•

**Goal 6:** We will promote an exemplary learning environment through the utilization of ancillary service departments that integrate established and innovative practices, standards, and systems.

**Performance Objective 2:** By June 2021, increase community and district awareness of ancillary support services.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Events Hosted, Advertisement Development, Feedback

Summative Evaluation: Significant progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Create a marketing plan to showcase all support service departments.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Brochure, Marketing Plan Production	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Del Barrio	75%		
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Establish parent orientation sessions related to bus transportation.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Agenda, Participation	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Stock	75%		
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Install link to Child Nutrition web page on every district and school web page		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Successful link installation	Mar	June	Aug
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Del Barrio	75%		
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e		

# **State Compensatory**

## **Budget for District Improvement Plan**

Account Code	Account Title	Budget
6100 Payroll Costs		·
199.11.6118.000.699.024.699	6118 Extra Duty Stipend - Locally Defined	\$1,850,000.00
199.11.6118.000.823.024.696	6118 Extra Duty Stipend - Locally Defined	\$90,000.00
199.13.6118.000.823.024.905	6118 Extra Duty Stipend - Locally Defined	\$4,000.00
199.13.6118.000.885.024.885	6118 Extra Duty Stipend - Locally Defined	\$5,000.00
199.11.6119.000.823.024.000	6119 Salaries or Wages - Teachers and Other Professional Personnel	\$254,348.00
199.21.6119.000.824.024.000	6119 Salaries or Wages - Teachers and Other Professional Personnel	\$0.00
199.11.6129.000.824.024.000	6129 Salaries or Wages for Support Personnel	\$0.00
199.21.6129.000.824.024.000	6129 Salaries or Wages for Support Personnel	\$0.00
199.11.6141.000.699.024.608	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$0.00
199.11.6141.000.823.024.000	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$3,688.00
199.11.6141.000.823.024.905	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$3.00
199.11.6141.000.824.024.000	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$0.00
199.13.6141.000.823.024.905	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$15.00
199.13.6141.000.885.024.885	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$85.00
199.13.6141.000.886.024.886	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$551.00
199.21.6141.000.824.024.000	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$0.00
199.11.6142.000.699.024.608	6142 Group Health and Life Insurance	\$0.00
199.11.6142.000.823.024.000	6142 Group Health and Life Insurance	\$41,842.00
199.11.6142.000.824.024.000	6142 Group Health and Life Insurance	\$0.00
199.21.6142.000.824.024.000	6142 Group Health and Life Insurance	\$0.00
199.11.6146.000.699.024.608	6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care	\$0.00
199.11.6146.000.823.024.000	6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care	\$17,296.00
199.11.6146.000.824.024.000	6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care	\$0.00
199.13.6146.000.823.024.905	6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care	\$122.00
199.13.6146.000.886.024.886	6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care	\$2,280.00

Account Code	Account Title	Budget
199.21.6146.000.824.024.000	6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care	\$0.00
	6100 Subtotal:	\$2,269,230.00
6200 Professional and Contracted Serv		
199.13.6219.000.823.024.905	6219 Professional Services	\$4,000.00
199.13.6249.000.824.024.824	6249 Contracted Maintenance & Repair	\$3,000.00
199.13.6291.000.823.024.905	6291 Consulting Services	\$1,000.00
199.13.6299.000.823.024.905	6299 Miscellaneous Contracted Services	\$55,000.00
	6200 Subtotal:	\$63,000.00
(200 Sumplies and Samises		
6300 Supplies and Services		
199.11.6329.000.823.024.905	6329 Reading Materials	\$9,600.00
199.13.6329.000.823.024.905	6329 Reading Materials	\$2,000.00
199.11.6339.000.823.024.905	6339 Testing Materials	\$12,000.00
199.11.6399.000.823.024.905	6399 General Supplies	\$7,000.00
199.11.6399.000.824.024.824	6399 General Supplies	\$27,000.00
199.13.6399.000.823.024.905	6399 General Supplies	\$8,375.00
	6300 Subtotal:	\$65,975.00
6400 Other Operating Costs		
199.13.6411.000.823.024.905	6411 Employee Travel	\$3,200.00
199.13.6495.000.823.024.905	6495 Membership Fees	\$300.00
199.13.6497.000.823.024.905	6497 Fees - Locally Defined	\$1,000.00
199.13.6497.000.824.024.824	6497 Fees - Locally Defined	\$0.00
199.13.6499.000.823.024.905	6499 Miscellaneous Operating Costs	\$500.00
	6400 Subtotal:	\$5,000.00

## Schoolwide and Targeted Assisted Title I Elements

#### ELEMENT 1. SWP COMPREHENSIVE NEEDS ASSESSMENT (CNA)

#### 1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment

The PISD staff is involved in the process of creating a District culture that engages everyone in a data-driven process to produce a comprehensive needs assessment. Data sources and results are identified and closely analyzed by the staff. Analyses of this data then allows the staff to create an effective plan of action that will raise student achievement. As new data becomes available, it is analyzed and plans are modified accordingly.

The Comprehensive Needs Assessment in this document more fully explains the strengths and identified needs for Pasadena ISD.

The District gathered current and longitudinal data in order to identify patterns, trends, and needs. Data analysis included State assessment, discipline, mobility, retention, attendance, parent involvement rate, and parent survey.

Data was disaggregated by ethnicity, economical disadvantage, gender, and special programs. The data was used to identify areas of strength and areas of need in terms of student achievement, staff development, and parent involvement.

#### **ELEMENT 2. SWP CAMPUS IMPROVEMENT PLAN (CIP)**

#### 2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders

The focus of the District Improvement Plan is based on the needs assessment and with the input of parents, community members, and school staff.

#### 2.2: Regular monitoring and revision

The District Improvement Plan and its implementation is regularly monitored and revised throughout the school year.

#### 2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language

The District Improvement Plan is available to parents and the public via the annual performance report, Pasadena ISD website, and Plan4Learning website. To the extent practicable, it is provided in and understandable and uniform format and in a language that parents can understand.

### 2.4: Opportunities for all children to meet State standards

Reform strategies such as Connect, extended day, extended week, and summer programs, one-to-one and group tutoring, enriched and accelerated curriculum, RTI, Positive Behavior Intervention, and coaching are implemented throughout the district in order to provide all students with opportunities to meet the challenging State academic standards and provide a well-rounded education.

#### 2.5: Increased learning time and well-rounded education

Reform strategies such as Connect, extended day, extended week, and summer programs, one-to-one and group tutoring, enriched and accelerated curriculum, RTI, Positive Behavior Intervention, and coaching are implemented throughout the district in order to provide all students with opportunities to meet the challenging State academic standards and provide a well-rounded education.

#### 2.6: Address needs of all students, particularly at-risk

Reform strategies such as Connect, extended day, extended week, and summer programs, one-to-one and group tutoring, enriched and accelerated curriculum, RTI, Positive Behavior Intervention, and coaching are implemented throughout the district in order to provide all students with opportunities to meet the challenging State academic standards and provide a well-rounded education.

#### **ELEMENT 3. PARENT AND FAMILY ENGAGEMENT (PFE)**

### 3.1: Develop and distribute Parent and Family Engagement Policy

The District jointly develops and distributes to parents and family members a written parent and family engagement policy. Parents are notified of the policy in an understandable and uniform format and in a language parents can understand. The policy is available to a local community via the Pasadena Independent School District's website. The policy is updated periodically to meet the changing needs of parents and the community.

#### 3.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings

The district offers a flexible number of meetings/trainings. Meetings are provided at different times during the week and on some weekends as requested on the district parent survey. Transportation and childcare are provided. A calendar of events is kept in the Special Programs Department.

## **Title I Personnel**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Personnel	Homeless Counselor	Special Programs	1
Personnel	Homeless/Migrant Coordinator	Special Programs	1
Personnel	Behavioral Coordinator	Special Programs	1
Personnel	Behavioral Specialists	Special Programs	2
Personnel	Specialists (C & I)	Special Programs	4
Personnel	Parent Coordinators	Special Programs	3

## **Plan Notes**

April 14-15, 2020- Strategic Plan Finalization

February 25, 2020- Board of Trustee Meeting

January 30, 2020- Present RISE to DEC

January 29, 2020- Strategic Plan Development

January 20, 2020- Review Plan and Funding with Cabinet

November 18, 2019- 5 Year Strategic Planning Kick Off

September 25, 2018 - DEC - Plan4Learning Access to Review DIP, Input Opportunities, Overview of Safety, October 30 - Provide Survey Results for DIP, Branding for District, Social Media, DEC Training, Calendar, RISE, CONNECT, Data Review

January 24, 2018 - DEC Meeting - Needs Assessment for DIP, Problem Statements, Root Causes

2016-2017 DEC meetings

November 1, 2016 - DEC - Strategic Plan - Strategy 5 - Responding to Emergencies, T-TESS, Class Size Waivers, PLCs

February 11, 2016 - DEC - Strategic Plan 5 & 6, Professional Development Survey Results, PLC/Rti, Data Talk

November 17, 2015 - Board of Trustees Meeting

November 6, 2015 - DEC input via survey on 2015-2016 District Improvement Plan

November 5, 2015 - DEC - Strategic Plan Strategy 3 & 4, District Improvement Plan, Strategic Plan 5 - Health Input, Data Talk, Instructional Coaching, Literacy, Professional Development Plan, CATCH - Coordinated School Health - Questions/Comments/Concerns

September 30, 2015 - L. Wright/Meeting with schools to review safeguards and overview of documenting safeguards in CIP.

September 17, 2015 DEC Meeting - Strategic Planning Overview, Action Team 1 & 2 Overview, Data Discussions

June 8, 2015 - Input for 14-15 formative assessment

May 14, 2015 - DEC Update, Accountability

February 12, 2015 - DEC Update

November 6, 2014 - DEC - Waivers, Accountability Update, PBMAS, Technology and Curriculum Update

October 31, 2014 - DEC members approve 14/15 DIP via Survey

Dec 5, 2013 - Information provided and gathered from DEC addressing HB5 District Evaluation, Coordinated School Health, Community update, technology update, curriculum

update, professional development plan, student code of conduct and an overall district update by Dr. Lewis.

January 8, 2014 - S. Harrell emails DEC members for input on staff development needs.

November 14, 2013 - D. Summers provided information to DEC related to district accountability and PBMAS - opportunities for questions provided, S. Harrell requested feedback from SBDM on staff development needs assessment for district.

October 3, 2013 - DEC Meeting - Gloria G - Title Funds, Donna S Needs Assessment - Index 2

September 30, 2013 - Obtain input from district level via electronic means, Sent survey to District Education Committee to review proposed 2013-2014 District Improvement Plan

July, 2013 - Input on accountability and performance objectives

May, 2013 - Input on DIP from District Level

April 12, 2012 - Meeting with Pat S (Career/College) and Denise G (Tech) for plan update

April 13, 2012 - Meeting with Jayne M (CATE) for plan update

April 17, 2012 - Meeting with Assoc Supt (Gloria, BIllye, Rosie, Steve) for plan update

June 11, 2012 - Meeting with Assoc Supt (Billye, Karen, Gloria) for plan update

August 8, 2012 - Parent Letters sent home for schools missing AYP.

September 20, 2012 - Meeting with DEC representatives to discuss AYP issues and obtain input

September 22, 2012- Group of principals working on plan, entering SCE information, budget

October 12, 2012 - Meeting with G Gallegos to discuss school/district improvement, changes for DIP, and Title funding

October, 2012 - Survey sent to all DEC members for final input on 2012-2013 DIP (Results: Approved)

October 19, 2012 - Plan sent to Program administrators for input

November 8, 2012 - Meeting with DEC representatives to discuss strategies 1, 3, and 4

November 13, 2012 - Board approval of 2012-2013 DIP

February 21, 2013 - Meeting with DEC to provide access to DIP software, input on Goals 4 & 6

May, 2013 - Solicit input from "Person Responsible" for formative review and changes recommended for 2013-2014 plan

# **District Funding Summary**

			211 - Title 1 A - Economically Disadvantaged Study		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	3	Payroll 2	211	\$16,543,743.00
1	1	6	Payroll 2	211	\$0.00
1	2	3	Supplies 2	211	\$0.00
1	3	1	RTI 2	211	\$53,075.00
1	7	9	Supplies 2	211	\$1,925,931.90
				Sub-Total	\$18,522,749.90
			263 - Title III A - Bilingual Education, Language		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	7	1	Supplies	263	\$0.00
1	7	2	Payroll	263	\$0.00
1	7	5	Payroll	263	\$0.00
1	7	8	Payroll	263	\$0.00
1	7	9	Supplies	263	\$219,822.06
1	7	10	Payroll	263	\$0.00
				Sub-Tota	\$219,822.06
			State Compensatory Funds		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	3	Miscellaneous/Operating Costs-Applicable to all Goals, Performance Objectives and Strategies within the District Improvement Plan	199	\$22,700.00
1	1	15	Payroll- Resource applicable to all Goals, Performance Objectives and Strategies within the District Improvement Plan	199	\$37,000.00
1	4	5	Contracted Services-Applicable to all Goals, Performance Objectives and Strategies within the District Improvement Plan	199	\$28,000.00
1	4	5	Supplies-Resource applicable to all Goals, Performance Objectives and Strategies within the District Improvement Plan	199	\$346,000.00
	-			Sub-Tota	\$433,700.00

			255 - Title II A - Improving Teacher & Principal Q		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	3	Staff Development	255	\$179,000.00
1	1	3	Supplies	255	\$10,000.00
1	1	3	Payroll-Extra Duty	255	\$21,000.00
				Sub-To	\$210,000.00
			289 - Title IV- Student Support & Academic Enrichm		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	3	1	RTI	255	\$53,075.00
1	5	2	Testing Materials-Supplies (i.e. ITBS, etc.)	289	\$58,797.96
1	5	10	Supplies	289	\$390,000.00
1	5	10	PSAT 8	289	\$26,357.00
1	5	10	PSAT-NMSQT 9th-11th	289	\$48,000.00
2	1	1	Supplies	289	\$234,527.00
2	1	1	Tuition SCI	289	\$194,527.00
2	1	1	Textbooks	289	\$155,473.00
2	2	2	Contracted Services	289	\$421,775.01
2	2	2	Contracted Services includes consultant fees/travel expenses; W9 form completed	289	\$40,000.00
2	2	4	Supplies	289	\$48,000.00
2	2	4	Testing Materials	289	\$30,000.00
5	1	2	Payroll	289	\$429,856.83
5	1	2	Subs for Teacher Staff Dev	289	\$42,248.03
5	1	2	Extra Duty for Teachers (Afterschool Tutoring)	289	\$3,000.00
5	1	2	Contracted Services includes Consultant Fees and Travel Expenses W9 form completed (CD Week Institute)	289	\$42,177,591.00
5	1	2	Restorative Practices	289	\$21,000.00
5	1	2	CD Training	289	\$6,524,801.00
5	1	2	Materials and Supplies (Classroom Books and Consumables)	289	\$71,285.22
5	1	2	Payroll-Specialists	289	\$328,590.87
5	1	2	Payroll-Para's	289	\$66,017.93
				Sub-Total	\$51,364,922.85

			206- Texas Hurricane Homeless Program		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Account Code	Amount	
1	1	8	Part Time or Temp Employees	206	\$80,000.00
1	1	8	Extra Duty Pay-Teachers (Afterschool Tutoring)	206	\$20,000.00
		•		Sub-Total	\$100,000.00
				<b>Grand Total Budgeted</b>	\$0.00
				<b>Grand Total Spent</b>	\$70,851,194.81

## **Addendums**



#### To the Administrator Addressed

**Commissioner Mike Morath** 

1701 North Congress Avenue • Austin, Texas 78701-1494 • 512 463-9734 • 512 463-9838 FAX • tea.texas.gov

DATE:	April 2, 2020
SUBJECT:	Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) Waiver Approval & 2020 State Academic Accountability
CATEGORY:	Notice
<b>NEXT STEPS:</b>	Share with appropriate staff

This letter is to notify you that the Texas Education Agency (TEA) received approval from U.S. Department of Education (USDE) on March 30, 2020, to waive statewide assessment and accountability requirements under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), for the 2019–2020 school year.

Additionally, for 2020 state academic accountability, all districts and campuses will receive a label of *Not Rated: Declared State of Disaster*.

#### **Background**

As announced in the *To the Administrator Addressed* letter dated March 18, 2020, Governor Greg Abbott used his statutory authority under Texas Government Code, §418.016 to suspend annual academic assessment requirements for the remainder of the 2019–2020 school year. As a result, the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®) are not being administered for the 2019–2020 school year in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

TEA submitted a federal assessment and accountability waiver on March 24, 2020, and will issue labels indicating *Not Rated: Declared State of Disaster* for 2020 to recognize that the closure of schools during the state's testing window inhibited the ability of the state to accurately measure district and campus performance.

#### School Improvement Implications and Funding

While no ratings will be issued in 2020, an overall rating or domain rating of D or F in 2019 and an overall rating or domain rating of D or F in 2021 will be considered to be consecutive for the determination of multiple-year unacceptable status.

Furthermore, as with other *Not Rated* labels, when a district or campus receives a *Not Rated: Declared State of Disaster* label, the district or campus shall continue to implement the previously ordered sanctions and interventions. If a campus has been ordered to prepare a turnaround plan and then receives a rating of *Not Rated: Declared State of Disaster*, that campus is strongly encouraged, but not required, to implement the approved turnaround plan.

Campuses identified for comprehensive support and improvement, targeted support and improvement, and additional targeted support in 2019 will maintain that label and interventions for 2020–2021.

#### For Further Information

To view the complete amendment request and approval as well as other valuable resources, visit <a href="https://tea.texas.gov/texas-schools/health-safety-discipline/coronavirus-covid-19-support-and-quidance">https://tea.texas.gov/texas-schools/health-safety-discipline/coronavirus-covid-19-support-and-quidance</a>.

If you have questions regarding this waiver request or 2020 academic accountability ratings, please contact TEA's Performance Reporting Division at (512) 463-9704 or performance.reporting@tea.texas.gov.

For questions regarding interventions, please contact the School Improvement Division at (512) 463-5226 or SIDivision@tea.texas.gov.

Sincerely,

Mike Morath Commissioner 2019-20 District STAAR Performance District Name: PASADENA ISD (101917)

**County Name: HARRIS** 

		State			African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed (Current)	Special Ed (Former)	Continu- ously Enrolled	Non- Continu- ously Enrolled	Econ Disadv	EL (Current & Monitored)
STAAR Performance Rates by Teste	d Grade	, Subject, and	d Performance	Level		1					1		I	1	1		
Grade 3 Reading																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	76%	76%	80%	73%	80%	83%	*	93%	-	79%	45%	80%	81%	75%	79%	82%
	2018	77%	77%	78%	68%	78%	82%	*	94%	*	89%	50%	76%	79%	76%	77%	80%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	45%	46%	42%	31%	42%	45%	*	65%	-	47%	22%	36%	43%	38%	40%	46%
	2018	43%	44%	40%	28%	40%	49%	*	60%	*	43%	29%	42%	41%	35%	37%	42%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	27%	28%	23%	14%	23%	23%	*	46%	-	37%	5%	18%	24%	20%	22%	25%
	2018	25%	25%	19%	15%	19%	25%	*	35%	*	36%	6%	15%	20%	18%	18%	20%
Grade 3 Mathematics																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	79%	79%	78%	67%	78%	83%	*	94%	-	79%	49%	85%	79%	75%	77%	82%
	2018	78%	79%	78%	68%	78%	84%	*	93%	*	72%	53%	76%	79%	73%	77%	82%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	49%	49%	41%	28%	40%	56%	*	79%	-	53%	25%	44%	42%	35%	39%	45%
	2018	47%	48%	40%	28%	39%	46%	*	75%	*	41%	32%	35%	41%	34%	38%	44%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	25%	25%	17%	11%	16%	26%	*	49%	-	21%	8%	18%	18%	13%	16%	20%
	2018	23%	24%	16%	12%	15%	17%	*	39%	*	10%	9%	15%	16%	13%	15%	17%
Grade 4 Reading																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	75%	75%	77%	70%	77%	82%	-	97%	*	81%	45%	74%	78%	72%	76%	75%
	2018	73%	73%	71%	66%	71%	78%	57%	83%	-	83%	45%	72%	73%	65%	69%	70%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	44%	45%	41%	35%	40%	52%	-	73%	*	46%	25%	35%	42%	37%	39%	38%
	2018	46%	46%	41%	35%	40%	55%	29%	60%	-	67%	30%	32%	42%	37%	38%	37%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	22%	23%	17%	16%	16%	30%	-	38%	*	27%	7%	13%	17%	17%	16%	15%
	2018	24%	25%	19%	16%	18%	26%	0%	37%	-	42%	10%	15%	20%	16%	16%	17%
Grade 4 Mathematics																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	75%	76%	75%	63%	75%	80%	-	93%	*	88%	45%	78%	77%	68%	74%	79%
	2018	78%	80%	77%	66%	78%	78%	57%	92%	-	88%	51%	87%	79%	70%	76%	81%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	48%	49%	40%	30%	39%	47%	-	78%	*	35%	28%	42%	42%	35%	39%	45%
	2018	49%	51%	43%	32%	43%	52%	14%	74%	-	63%	32%	48%	46%	36%	41%	46%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	28%	30%	18%	12%	18%	21%	-	51%	*	19%	11%	18%	19%	15%	17%	20%
	2018	27%	29%	20%	14%	19%	26%	14%	53%	-	33%	11%	20%	21%	17%	18%	21%
Grade 4 Writing																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	67%	67%	66%	64%	65%	72%	-	91%	*	81%	35%	58%	67%	63%	65%	66%
	2018	63%	63%	62%	53%	62%	68%	57%	79%	_	63%	36%	49%	64%	55%	60%	64%

At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	35%	35%	30%	30%	28%	39%	-	58%	*	54%	22%	27%	31%	27%	28%	28%
	2018	39%	40%	36%	37%	35%	43%	43%	56%	-	38%	27%	31%	38%	30%	34%	36%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	11%	11%	8%	8%	7%	11%	-	23%	*	15%	6%	4%	8%	7%	7%	7%
	2018	11%	11%	8%	6%	7%	11%	0%	20%	-	8%	8%	5%	8%	6%	6%	7%
Grade 5 Reading <sup>^</sup>																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	86%	86%	84%	77%	84%	88%	86%	92%	*	100%	55%	84%	85%	80%	83%	83%
	2018	84%	83%	79%	73%	78%	89%	*	97%	60%	94%	52%	77%	81%	73%	77%	77%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	54%	54%	47%	41%	45%	59%	43%	75%	*	72%	31%	42%	48%	41%	43%	42%
	2018	54%	54%	45%	42%	43%	60%	*	80%	60%	64%	28%	41%	47%	37%	42%	41%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	29%	30%	21%	19%	20%	35%	0%	44%	*	56%	8%	25%	23%	17%	19%	18%
	2018	26%	27%	18%	19%	17%	31%	*	41%	40%	33%	9%	12%	20%	14%	16%	16%
Grade 5 Mathematics <sup>^</sup>																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	90%	89%	87%	79%	88%	90%	100%	97%	*	100%	68%	88%	89%	83%	86%	89%
	2018	91%	90%	88%	82%	88%	91%	*	99%	100%	91%	68%	84%	90%	83%	87%	88%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	58%	59%	48%	36%	47%	57%	14%	80%	*	78%	34%	49%	50%	42%	45%	48%
	2018	58%	58%	48%	42%	47%	54%	*	87%	80%	61%	33%	41%	51%	39%	46%	47%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	36%	38%	25%	17%	24%	32%	0%	63%	*	50%	15%	23%	26%	21%	23%	25%
	2018	30%	31%	20%	12%	19%	29%	*	58%	60%	27%	12%	14%	22%	14%	18%	21%
Grade 5 Science																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	75%	75%	73%	65%	73%	82%	71%	91%	_	100%	47%	74%	75%	68%	71%	71%
	2018	76%	76%	75%	66%	75%	85%	*	96%	80%	84%	53%	70%	78%	67%	73%	76%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	49%	50%	44%	32%	43%	61%	29%	77%	-	78%	31%	47%	46%	37%	41%	42%
	2018	41%	42%	35%	25%	34%	50%	*	77%	40%	53%	27%	25%	37%	28%	33%	33%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	24%	25%	19%	10%	17%	31%	0%	49%	-	56%	12%	21%	20%	14%	16%	17%
	2018	17%	18%	11%	6%	10%	17%	*	35%	20%	16%	8%	6%	11%	9%	10%	11%
Grade 6 Reading																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	68%	69%	66%	64%	64%	77%	*	90%	*	90%	37%	62%	68%	59%	64%	62%
	2018	69%	71%	65%	56%	64%	77%	*	91%	*	65%	33%	55%	67%	57%	62%	63%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	37%	38%	31%	34%	28%	45%	*	69%	*	45%	20%	25%	33%	22%	29%	27%
	2018	39%	41%	31%	22%	29%	48%	*	70%	*	35%	20%	19%	33%	25%	28%	28%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	18%	19%	12%	16%	10%	20%	*	35%	*	16%	6%	11%	13%	9%	11%	10%
	2018	19%	20%	14%	9%	12%	29%	*	34%	*	8%	9%	5%	15%	10%	11%	11%
Grade 6 Mathematics																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	81%	81%	73%	66%	73%	82%	_	93%	*	96%	53%	71%	75%	68%	72%	72%
	2018	77%	78%	68%	62%	68%	74%	*	91%	*	73%	51%	78%	69%	65%	67%	69%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	47%	48%	26%	23%	25%	33%	-	70%	*	40%	23%	31%	27%	23%	25%	25%
	2018	44%	46%	22%	16%	20%	33%	*	65%	*	14%	25%	26%	21%	22%	20%	22%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	21%	22%	6%	3%	5%	9%	-	43%	*	12%	9%	0%	5%	6%	5%	5%
	2018	18%	20%	4%	2%	4%	4%	*	30%	*	5%	10%	2%	4%	6%	4%	5%
Grade 7 Reading																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	76%	77%	74%	63%	74%	83%	*	94%	*	82%	36%	73%	76%	68%	72%	73%
	2018	74%	76%	71%	65%	70%	79%	*	93%	*	77%	37%	50%	73%	64%	69%	69%

At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	49%	51%	43%	32%	41%	58%	*	80%	*	55%	24%	30%	44%	36%	40%	40%
	2018	48%	50%	43%	31%	42%	57%	*	71%	*	50%	25%	23%	45%	34%	40%	40%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	29%	31%	23%	15%	21%	35%	*	67%	*	32%	10%	17%	25%	18%	21%	22%
	2018	29%	30%	24%	17%	22%	37%	*	51%	*	41%	10%	15%	26%	17%	21%	21%
Grade 7 Mathematics																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	75%	77%	76%	67%	75%	81%	*	97%	*	80%	46%	81%	77%	69%	74%	76%
	2018	72%	75%	71%	63%	70%	81%	*	91%	-	70%	48%	61%	73%	64%	69%	70%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	43%	46%	38%	27%	36%	48%	*	83%	*	40%	26%	31%	39%	33%	35%	38%
	2018	40%	43%	33%	22%	31%	47%	*	79%	-	30%	22%	25%	34%	27%	30%	32%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	17%	18%	9%	5%	8%	15%	*	47%	*	8%	8%	5%	9%	8%	8%	9%
	2018	18%	20%	11%	9%	9%	15%	*	51%	-	15%	7%	5%	11%	9%	9%	10%
Grade 7 Writing																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	70%	72%	67%	59%	66%	78%	*	93%	*	68%	31%	64%	69%	61%	65%	65%
·	2018	69%	69%	63%	56%	61%	75%	*	85%	*	74%	26%	35%	65%	54%	60%	58%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	42%	43%	34%	24%	33%	50%	*	74%	*	32%	20%	27%	35%	30%	32%	32%
	2018	43%	44%	33%	26%	32%	49%	*	61%	*	48%	19%	14%	35%	26%	30%	29%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	18%	19%	12%	11%	10%	24%	*	38%	*	14%	8%	5%	12%	9%	10%	9%
	2018	15%	16%	8%	6%	7%	14%	*	27%	*	4%	6%	0%	9%	5%	7%	7%
Grade 8 Reading <sup>^</sup>																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	86%	86%	84%	77%	84%	91%	*	99%	100%	74%	48%	75%	86%	76%	83%	82%
·	2018	86%	86%	84%	82%	84%	87%	*	94%	*	91%	49%	77%	86%	77%	83%	81%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	55%	56%	47%	34%	47%	61%	*	69%	33%	52%	22%	25%	51%	35%	45%	43%
	2018	49%	51%	44%	40%	42%	52%	*	72%	*	64%	23%	31%	46%	33%	41%	36%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	28%	30%	21%	15%	20%	32%	*	40%	0%	35%	7%	14%	22%	14%	18%	16%
	2018	27%	28%	21%	14%	20%	26%	*	46%	*	27%	7%	10%	22%	14%	19%	16%
Grade 8 Mathematics <sup>^</sup>																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	88%	88%	90%	84%	90%	93%	*	99%	100%	83%	64%	86%	90%	87%	89%	90%
	2018	86%	87%	91%	91%	90%	91%	*	97%	*	78%	65%	80%	91%	88%	90%	91%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	57%	58%	58%	50%	57%	62%	*	89%	40%	50%	34%	50%	59%	52%	56%	58%
	2018	51%	52%	56%	50%	56%	60%	*	85%	*	52%	31%	46%	58%	50%	55%	58%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	17%	18%	16%	12%	14%	21%	*	57%	0%	17%	10%	10%	17%	12%	14%	16%
	2018	15%	16%	16%	12%	15%	21%	*	43%	*	17%	9%	15%	17%	12%	15%	17%
Grade 8 Science																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	81%	81%	78%	72%	78%	88%	*	97%	67%	70%	50%	76%	80%	70%	77%	76%
· ·	2018	76%	77%	74%	69%	74%	81%	*	91%	*	68%	45%	66%	76%	66%	72%	72%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	51%	52%	44%	36%	42%	62%	*	82%	17%	52%	27%	33%	46%	35%	42%	40%
	2018	52%	53%	47%	32%	46%	60%	*	79%	*	55%	26%	39%	49%	37%	44%	43%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	25%	27%	17%	11%	16%	27%	*	42%	17%	22%	9%	5%	19%	10%	16%	15%
	2018	28%	29%	23%	15%	22%	31%	*	58%	*	23%	8%	21%	25%	17%	21%	19%
Grade 8 Social Studies		-			-				1			-					
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	69%	70%	68%	59%	67%	80%	*	94%	17%	65%	44%	67%	70%	61%	66%	65%
,,	2018	65%	66%	66%	62%	65%	73%	*	90%	*	73%	38%	61%	67%	60%	64%	63%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	37%	38%	34%	31%	33%	46%	*	63%	17%	26%	24%	26%	36%	27%	32%	31%
	2018	36%	37%	34%	29%	33%	43%	*	59%	*	45%	23%	29%	35%	27%	32%	31%

At Masters Grade Level	2019	21%	23%	17%	13%	16%	25%	*	42%	0%	22%	10%	17%	19%	12%	16%	15%
	2018	21%	22%	18%	12%	17%	22%	*	49%	*	18%	8%	11%	19%	12%	16%	16%
End of Course English I																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	68%	67%	60%	51%	60%	66%	30%	77%	*	76%	22%	44%	63%	49%	59%	50%
	2018	65%	65%	57%	45%	57%	64%	27%	88%	*	68%	20%	42%	60%	48%	56%	45%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	50%	50%	42%	32%	41%	52%	0%	69%	*	64%	10%	36%	44%	32%	40%	31%
	2018	44%	45%	35%	24%	34%	48%	9%	71%	*	45%	10%	27%	37%	28%	32%	21%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	11%	11%	6%	5%	5%	11%	0%	30%	*	15%	2%	1%	7%	4%	5%	2%
	2018	7%	8%	5%	3%	4%	11%	0%	24%	*	13%	3%	3%	5%	4%	4%	1%
End of Course English II												***		4.1			
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	68%	69%	61%	55%	60%	70%	50%	77%	_	70%	22%	43%	64%	46%	59%	43%
	2018	67%	67%	58%	54%	58%	68%	44%	67%	*	73%	21%	53%	62%	44%	56%	35%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	49%	50%	41%	36%	39%	54%	8%	64%	-	63%	12%	29%	44%	26%	38%	21%
TRANSCO CIGGO ZOTO OTTESTO	2018	48%	48%	38%	32%	37%	50%	11%	54%	*	58%	12%	24%	41%	25%	36%	16%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	8%	9%	5%	3%	4%	9%	0%	19%		13%	4%	0%	6%	3%	4%	1%
Tamada Cauca Zara	2018	8%	9%	4%	2%	4%	6%	0%	18%	*	4%	3%	0%	4%	2%	4%	0%
End of Course Algebra I	2010	070	070	170	270	170	070	0 70	1070		170	070	0,0	170	270	170	070
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	85%	84%	90%	81%	90%	88%	67%	95%	*	82%	62%	79%	91%	83%	89%	91%
7.1.7.pp.:-00:100	2018	83%	83%	81%	70%	81%	85%	71%	94%	*	77%	44%	86%	83%	72%	80%	80%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	61%	61%	73%	61%	73%	70%	50%	89%	*	68%	35%	53%	75%	61%	72%	72%
71 Mode Clade Level of 7150ve	2018	55%	55%	49%	33%	49%	55%	14%	83%	*	46%	15%	43%	52%	37%	49%	47%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	37%	38%	46%	35%	46%	52%	50%	74%	*	50%	12%	29%	49%	35%	45%	46%
7 k Madicio Giado Estoi	2018	32%	34%	27%	11%	27%	32%	0%	66%	*	23%	5%	22%	30%	17%	26%	27%
End of Course Biology	2010	0270	0170	=. 70	1170	2170	0270	0 70	0070		2070	0,0	2270	0070	1170	2070	2170
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	88%	88%	87%	80%	87%	90%	*	93%	*	93%	58%	85%	89%	80%	86%	83%
-	2018	87%	86%	85%	78%	85%	90%	86%	94%	*	92%	52%	80%	87%	77%	84%	80%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	62%	63%	60%	54%	60%	69%	*	75%	*	78%	22%	46%	64%	46%	59%	49%
7 11 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	2018	59%	60%	57%	46%	56%	73%	71%	83%	*	62%	20%	55%	60%	46%	55%	43%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	25%	28%	21%	15%	20%	28%	*	52%	*	37%	5%	17%	23%	15%	19%	14%
710 Middler's Grade Edver	2018	24%	26%	21%	13%	19%	34%	14%	56%	*	38%	3%	20%	23%	14%	19%	10%
End of Course U.S. History				= 1.74	.07.0	1070	0.70		0070		0070	0,0	2070		1170	1070	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	93%	93%	92%	88%	92%	96%	100%	96%	*	94%	68%	77%	94%	85%	92%	84%
, a , approaches Crade Level of , above	2018	92%	91%	92%	90%	92%	94%	100%	97%	80%	100%	67%	93%	93%	88%	91%	83%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	73%	75%	73%	72%	72%	85%	57%	86%	*	89%	37%	49%	75%	61%	72%	47%
A MOOLO GIAGO ESTOI OI ADOTO	2018	70%	71%	70%	63%	70%	77%	83%	85%	60%	96%	37%	64%	73%	60%	69%	46%
At Masters Grade Level	2019	45%	49%	42%	36%	40%	61%	14%	60%	*	78%	12%	23%	44%	32%	40%	19%
, a madicio Grado Ecvor	2018	40%	43%	37%	28%	35%	52%	67%	59%	60%	67%	10%	50%	38%	31%	35%	16%
	2010	TU /0	70 /0	J1 /0	20 /0	3370	JZ /0	0170	J3 /0	00 /0	07 70	10 /0	30 /0	30 /0	3170	0070	1070
All Grades All Subjects																	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above	2019	78%	78%	76%	69%	76%	83%	69%	92%	78%	83%	46%	73%	78%	69%	75%	74%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2018	77%	77%	74%	67%	74%	80%	66%	90%	68%	80%	44%	69%	76%	67%	72%	72%
At Meets Grade Level or Above	2019	50%	51%	45%	37%	44%	56%	29%	75%	35%	55%	24%	37%	47%	37%	43%	40%
	2018	48%	49%	42%	33%	41%	53%	37%	72%	44%	52%	23%	34%	44%	34%	40%	37%

At Approaches Grade Level or Above       2019         2018       2018         At Meets Grade Level or Above       2019         2018       2018         At Masters Grade Level       2019         2018       2018         All Grades Science       2019         At Approaches Grade Level or Above       2019         2018       2018	22%  75% 74% 48% 46% 21% 19%  82% 81% 52% 50% 26% 24%  68% 66% 38% 41% 14% 13%	23%  75% 74% 49% 47% 22% 20%  82% 82% 53% 51% 28% 25%  69% 66% 39%	16% 72% 69% 42% 39% 15% 14% 82% 80% 47% 43% 21% 17%	11% 64% 62% 34% 31% 12% 11% 73% 71% 38% 32% 14% 10%	15% 71% 69% 40% 38% 14% 13% 82% 80% 47% 42% 20% 16%	23%  79% 77% 53% 52% 23% 22%  86% 84% 55% 51% 27% 22%	11% 57% 49% 16% 22% 5% 3% 85% 79% 45% 38% 20% 13%	88% 87% 70% 67% 38% 34% 96% 94% 82% 79% 56%	34% 81% 56% 31% 38% 19% 31% 93% 91% 53% 55%	23% 81% 80% 55% 53% 26% 25% 87% 79% 51%	7% 36% 34% 19% 20% 5% 6% 56% 53% 30%	11% 68% 64% 33% 31% 13% 10% 81% 80% 43%	17%  74%  71%  44%  41%  16%  15%  83%  81%  49%	12% 64% 61% 33% 31% 11% 17% 77% 73% 41%	71% 68% 39% 36% 14% 13%	14% 68% 65% 36% 33% 13% 13%
At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  All Grades Mathematics At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  2018  At Masters Grade Level 2019  2018  At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019	74% 48% 46% 21% 19%  82% 81% 52% 50% 26% 24%  68% 66% 38% 41% 14%	74% 49% 47% 22% 20% 82% 82% 53% 51% 28% 25% 69% 66% 39%	69% 42% 39% 15% 14% 82% 80% 47% 43% 21% 17%	62% 34% 31% 12% 11% 73% 71% 38% 32% 14% 10%	69% 40% 38% 14% 13% 82% 80% 47% 42% 20% 16%	77% 53% 52% 23% 22% 86% 84% 55% 51% 27%	49% 16% 22% 5% 3% 85% 79% 45% 38% 20%	87% 70% 67% 38% 34% 96% 94% 82% 79% 56%	56% 31% 38% 19% 31% 93% 91% 53% 55%	80% 55% 53% 26% 25% 87% 79% 51%	34% 19% 20% 5% 6% 56% 53% 30%	64% 33% 31% 13% 10% 81% 80%	71% 44% 41% 16% 15% 83% 81%	61% 33% 31% 11% 11% 77% 73%	68% 39% 36% 14% 13% 81% 78%	65% 36% 33% 13% 13%
At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  All Grades Mathematics At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level or Above 2019  At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  2018  At Masters Grade Level 2019  2018  At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019	74% 48% 46% 21% 19%  82% 81% 52% 50% 26% 24%  68% 66% 38% 41% 14%	74% 49% 47% 22% 20% 82% 82% 53% 51% 28% 25% 69% 66% 39%	69% 42% 39% 15% 14% 82% 80% 47% 43% 21% 17%	62% 34% 31% 12% 11% 73% 71% 38% 32% 14% 10%	69% 40% 38% 14% 13% 82% 80% 47% 42% 20% 16%	77% 53% 52% 23% 22% 86% 84% 55% 51% 27%	49% 16% 22% 5% 3% 85% 79% 45% 38% 20%	87% 70% 67% 38% 34% 96% 94% 82% 79% 56%	56% 31% 38% 19% 31% 93% 91% 53% 55%	80% 55% 53% 26% 25% 87% 79% 51%	34% 19% 20% 5% 6% 56% 53% 30%	64% 33% 31% 13% 10% 81% 80%	71% 44% 41% 16% 15% 83% 81%	61% 33% 31% 11% 11% 77% 73%	68% 39% 36% 14% 13% 81% 78%	65% 36% 33% 13% 13%
At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  All Grades Mathematics At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  2018  At Masters Grade Level 2019  2018  At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019	48% 46% 21% 19% 82% 81% 52% 50% 26% 24% 68% 66% 38% 41% 14%	49% 47% 22% 20% 82% 82% 53% 51% 28% 25%	42% 39% 15% 14% 82% 80% 47% 43% 21% 17%	34% 31% 12% 11% 73% 71% 38% 32% 14% 10%	40% 38% 14% 13% 82% 80% 47% 42% 20% 16%	53% 52% 23% 22% 86% 84% 55% 51% 27%	16% 22% 5% 3% 85% 79% 45% 38% 20%	70% 67% 38% 34% 96% 94% 82% 79% 56%	31% 38% 19% 31% 93% 91% 53% 55%	55% 53% 26% 25% 87% 79% 51%	19% 20% 5% 6% 56% 53% 30%	33% 31% 13% 10% 81% 80%	44% 41% 16% 15% 83% 81%	33% 31% 11% 11% 77% 73%	39% 36% 14% 13% 81% 78%	36% 33% 13% 13% 83%
At Masters Grade Level 2019  All Grades Mathematics     At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019	46% 21% 19% 82% 81% 52% 50% 26% 24% 68% 66% 38% 41% 14%	47% 22% 20% 82% 82% 53% 51% 28% 25% 69% 66% 39%	39% 15% 14% 82% 80% 47% 43% 21% 17%	31% 12% 11% 73% 71% 38% 32% 14% 10%	38% 14% 13% 82% 80% 47% 42% 20% 16%	52% 23% 22% 86% 84% 55% 51% 27%	22% 5% 3% 85% 79% 45% 38% 20%	67% 38% 34% 96% 94% 82% 79% 56%	38% 19% 31% 93% 91% 53% 55%	53% 26% 25% 87% 79% 51%	20% 5% 6% 56% 53% 30%	31% 13% 10% 81% 80%	41% 16% 15% 83% 81%	31% 11% 11% 77% 73%	36% 14% 13% 81% 78%	33% 13% 13% 83%
At Masters Grade Level 2019  All Grades Mathematics     At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019	21% 19% 82% 81% 52% 50% 26% 24% 68% 66% 38% 41% 14%	22% 20% 82% 82% 53% 51% 28% 25% 69% 66% 39%	15% 14% 82% 80% 47% 43% 21% 17%	12% 11% 73% 71% 38% 32% 14% 10%	14% 13% 82% 80% 47% 42% 20% 16%	23% 22% 86% 84% 55% 51% 27%	5% 3% 85% 79% 45% 38% 20%	38% 34% 96% 94% 82% 79% 56%	19% 31% 93% 91% 53% 55%	26% 25% 87% 79% 51%	5% 6% 56% 53% 30%	13% 10% 81% 80%	16% 15% 83% 81%	11% 11% 77% 73%	14% 13% 81% 78%	13% 13% 83%
All Grades Mathematics     At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019	19% 82% 81% 52% 50% 26% 24% 68% 66% 38% 41% 14%	20% 82% 82% 53% 51% 28% 25% 69% 66% 39%	14% 82% 80% 47% 43% 21% 17%	11%  73%  71%  38%  32%  14%  10%	13% 82% 80% 47% 42% 20% 16%	22% 86% 84% 55% 51% 27%	3% 85% 79% 45% 38% 20%	34% 96% 94% 82% 79% 56%	31% 93% 91% 53% 55%	25% 87% 79% 51%	6% 56% 53% 30%	10% 81% 80%	15% 83% 81%	11% 77% 73%	13% 81% 78%	13% 83%
All Grades Mathematics	82% 81% 52% 50% 26% 24% 68% 66% 38% 41%	82% 82% 53% 51% 28% 25% 69% 66% 39%	82% 80% 47% 43% 21% 17%	73% 71% 38% 32% 14% 10%	82% 80% 47% 42% 20% 16%	86% 84% 55% 51% 27%	85% 79% 45% 38% 20%	96% 94% 82% 79% 56%	93% 91% 53% 55%	87% 79% 51%	56% 53% 30%	81% 80%	83% 81%	77% 73%	81% 78%	83%
At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  All Grades Writing At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  2018	81% 52% 50% 26% 24% 68% 66% 38% 41% 14%	82% 53% 51% 28% 25% 69% 66% 39%	80% 47% 43% 21% 17%	71% 38% 32% 14% 10%	80% 47% 42% 20% 16%	84% 55% 51% 27%	79% 45% 38% 20%	94% 82% 79% 56%	91% 53% 55%	87% 79% 51%	53% 30%	80%	81%	73%	78%	
At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  All Grades Writing At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  2018	81% 52% 50% 26% 24% 68% 66% 38% 41% 14%	82% 53% 51% 28% 25% 69% 66% 39%	80% 47% 43% 21% 17%	71% 38% 32% 14% 10%	80% 47% 42% 20% 16%	84% 55% 51% 27%	79% 45% 38% 20%	94% 82% 79% 56%	91% 53% 55%	79% 51%	53% 30%	80%	81%	73%	78%	
At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2018  All Grades Writing At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  All Grades Science At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  2018	52% 50% 26% 24% 68% 66% 38% 41%	53% 51% 28% 25% 69% 66% 39%	47% 43% 21% 17%	38% 32% 14% 10%	47% 42% 20% 16%	55% 51% 27%	45% 38% 20%	94% 82% 79% 56%	53% 55%	51%	30%		-		-	81%
At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2018  All Grades Writing At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  All Grades Science At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  2018	50% 26% 24% 68% 66% 38% 41%	51% 28% 25% 69% 66% 39%	43% 21% 17%	32% 14% 10%	47% 42% 20% 16%	51% 27%	38% 20%	79% 56%	55%	51%	30%	43%	49%		400/	
At Masters Grade Level 2019  All Grades Writing At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  All Grades Science At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  2018	50% 26% 24% 68% 66% 38% 41%	28% 25% 69% 66% 39%	21% 17% 66%	32% 14% 10%	42% 20% 16%	51% 27%	38% 20%	79% 56%	55%					41/0	46%	48%
At Masters Grade Level 2019  All Grades Writing At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level or Above 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  At Masters Grade Level 2019  All Grades Science At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  2018	26% 24% 68% 66% 38% 41% 14%	28% 25% 69% 66% 39%	21% 17% 66%	14% 10% 62%	20% 16%	27%	20%	56%		45%	26%	38%	44%	35%	41%	43%
All Grades Writing	24% 68% 66% 38% 41% 14%	25% 69% 66% 39%	17% 66%	10% 62%	16%				13%	25%	11%	15%	22%	17%	19%	21%
All Grades Writing	68% 66% 38% 41%	69% 66% 39%	66%	62%				50%	45%	19%	8%	13%	18%	13%	16%	17%
At Approaches Grade Level or Above       2019         2018       2018         At Meets Grade Level or Above       2019         2018       2018         At Masters Grade Level       2019         2018       2018         All Grades Science       2019         At Approaches Grade Level or Above       2019         2018       2018	66% 38% 41% 14%	66% 39%			65%			100.0		.070	0.0	.070	.070	.0.0	.070	70
2018 At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019 2018 At Masters Grade Level 2019 2018 At Masters Grade Level 2019 2018 All Grades Science At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019 2018	66% 38% 41% 14%	66% 39%				75%	*	92%	*	75%	33%	61%	68%	62%	65%	66%
At Meets Grade Level or Above       2019         2018       2018         At Masters Grade Level       2019         2018       2018         All Grades Science       2019         At Approaches Grade Level or Above       2019         2018       2018	38% 41% 14%	39%	0=70	54%	62%	71%	55%	82%	*	68%	31%	43%	65%	55%	60%	61%
2018  At Masters Grade Level 2019 2018  All Grades Science At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019 2018	41% 14%		32%	27%	30%	44%	*	67%	*	44%	21%	27%	33%	28%	30%	30%
At Masters Grade Level 2019  All Grades Science At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019  2018	14%	42%	35%	31%	34%	46%	36%	58%	*	43%	23%	24%	37%	28%	32%	33%
All Grades Science At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019 2018		15%	10%	10%	8%	17%	*	31%	*	15%	6%	4%	10%	8%	9%	8%
All Grades Science At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019 2018	1070	13%	8%	6%	7%	13%	0%	24%	*	6%	7%	3%	8%	5%	6%	7%
At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019 2018		1070	0 70	070	7 70	1070	070	2470		070	1 70	370	070	370	070	
2018	81%	82%	80%	73%	80%	87%	73%	94%	75%	87%	52%	78%	82%	73%	78%	76%
	80%	80%	78%	72%	78%	86%	82%	94%	57%	83%	50%	72%	80%	71%	77%	76%
At Meets Grade Level or Above 2019	54%	55%	50%	42%	49%	64%	33%	78%	25%	69%	26%	43%	53%	40%	48%	43%
2018	51%	52%	47%	35%	46%	62%	73%	80%	29%	56%	23%	37%	50%	37%	44%	39%
At Masters Grade Level 2019	25%	27%	19%	12%	18%	29%	0%	48%	13%	37%	8%	16%	21%	13%	17%	15%
2018	23%	24%	18%	11%	17%	28%	18%	50%	14%	25%	6%	14%	20%	13%	17%	13%
	23%	24%	10%	1170	1770	20%	10%	50%	14%	25%	070	1470	20%	13%	1770	13%
All Grades Social Studies At Approaches Grade Level or Above 2019	81%	81%	80%	73%	80%	88%	82%	95%	29%	78%	56%	72%	82%	72%	79%	71%
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	78%	79%	79%	75%	78%	83%	100%	95%	67%	88%	53%	69%	80%	74%	78%	69%
2018	-	-	111		-			-								
			1 11	-						-	-	-				36%
					-											35%
			1111										-			17%
2018	31%	32%	2/%	20%	26%	36%	50%	55%	50%	45%	9%	21%	29%	21%	25%	16%
At Meets Grade Level or Above         2019           2018         2018           At Masters Grade Level         2019           2018         2018    STAAR Performance Rates by Enrolled Grade at	55% 53% 33% 31%	57% 54% 36% 32%	54% 52% 30% 27%	51% 46% 24% 20%	52% 51% 28% 26%	65% 59% 43% 36%	36% 63% 9% 50%	76% 73% 52% 55%	29% 50% 0% 50%	54% 73% 46% 45%	31% 30% 11% 9%	37% 38% 20% 21%	56% 54% 32% 29%	43% 43% 21% 21%	51% 50% 27% 25%	

	2018	34%	34%	28%	20%	27%	36%	*	54%	*	36%	24%	27%	29%	24%	26%	30%
Reading Including EOC	2019	45%	45%	42%	31%	42%	45%	*	65%	-	47%	22%	36%	43%	38%	40%	45%
	2018	43%	44%	40%	28%	40%	49%	*	60%	*	43%	30%	42%	41%	35%	37%	42%
Math Including EOC	2019	49%	48%	41%	28%	40%	56%	*	79%	-	53%	25%	44%	42%	36%	39%	45%
	2018	47%	48%	40%	29%	39%	46%	*	75%	*	41%	32%	35%	41%	35%	38%	44%
4th Graders																	
Reading and Mathematics	2019	35%	36%	28%	21%	27%	39%	-	64%	*	31%	21%	26%	29%	23%	26%	28%
	2018	36%	37%	30%	24%	28%	42%	14%	53%	-	46%	26%	23%	31%	26%	27%	28%
Reading and Mathematics Including EOC	2019	35%	36%	28%	21%	27%	39%	-	64%	*	31%	21%	26%	29%	23%	26%	28%
	2018	36%	37%	30%	24%	28%	42%	14%	53%	-	46%	26%	23%	31%	26%	27%	28%
Reading Including EOC	2019	44%	45%	41%	35%	40%	52%	-	73%	*	46%	25%	35%	42%	36%	39%	38%
•	2018	46%	46%	41%	35%	40%	55%	29%	60%	-	67%	30%	32%	42%	37%	38%	37%
Math Including EOC	2019	48%	49%	40%	30%	39%	47%	-	77%	*	35%	28%	42%	42%	34%	38%	44%
	2018	49%	51%	43%	32%	43%	52%	14%	74%	-	63%	32%	48%	46%	36%	41%	46%
5th Graders																	
Reading and Mathematics	2019	44%	44%	34%	27%	33%	46%	14%	68%	*	72%	25%	34%	36%	28%	31%	31%
<u> </u>	2018	44%	44%	34%	31%	32%	42%	*	74%	60%	53%	23%	29%	36%	26%	31%	31%
Reading and Mathematics Including EOC		44%	44%	34%	27%	33%	46%	14%	68%	*	72%	25%	34%	36%	28%	31%	31%
J	2018	44%	44%	34%	31%	32%	42%	*	74%	60%	53%	23%	29%	36%	26%	31%	31%
Reading Including EOC	2019	54%	54%	47%	41%	45%	59%	43%	74%	*	72%	31%	42%	48%	41%	43%	41%
	2018	54%	54%	45%	42%	43%	60%	*	80%	60%	64%	28%	41%	47%	37%	42%	41%
Math Including EOC	2019	58%	59%	48%	36%	47%	57%	14%	80%	*	78%	34%	49%	50%	42%	45%	48%
	2018	58%	59%	48%	42%	47%	54%	*	87%	80%	61%	33%	41%	51%	39%	46%	47%
6th Graders																	
Reading and Mathematics	2019	31%	32%	21%	21%	19%	30%	*	64%	*	35%	18%	11%	23%	14%	19%	18%
. todaing and manomatos	2018	31%	33%	20%	14%	18%	34%	*	64%	*	23%	19%	9%	21%	16%	17%	19%
Reading and Mathematics Including EOC		31%	32%	21%	21%	19%	30%	*	64%	*	35%	18%	11%	23%	14%	19%	18%
reading and Mathematics moldaling 200	2018	31%	33%	20%	14%	18%	34%	*	64%	*	23%	19%	9%	21%	16%	17%	19%
Reading Including EOC	2019	37%	38%	31%	34%	28%	45%	*	69%	*	45%	20%	25%	33%	22%	29%	26%
riodanig molaanig 200	2018	39%	41%	31%	22%	29%	48%	*	70%	*	35%	20%	19%	33%	25%	28%	28%
Math Including EOC	2019	48%	49%	36%	30%	34%	45%	*	83%	*	52%	24%	31%	38%	29%	34%	34%
Waar modaling 200	2018	45%	47%	31%	21%	29%	49%	*	80%	*	23%	27%	29%	33%	27%	29%	32%
7th Graders																	
Reading and Mathematics	2019	36%	39%	29%	18%	27%	42%	*	76%	*	27%	20%	20%	31%	23%	26%	28%
roduling and mathematics	2019	35%	37%	28%	16%	27%	42%	*	63%	*	41%	19%	13%	30%	20%	25%	27%
Reading and Mathematics Including EOC		37%	40%	29%	18%	27%	42%	*	76%	*	27%	20%	20%	31%	23%	26%	28%
Todaling and Mathematics including LOC	2018	36%	38%	28%	16%	27%	42%	*	63%	*	41%	19%	13%	30%	20%	25%	27%
Reading Including EOC	2019	49%	51%	43%	32%	41%	58%	*	80%	*	55%	24%	30%	44%	36%	40%	40%
Neading Including EOC		49%	50%	43%	32%	41%	57%	*	71%	*	50%		23%	45%	34%	40%	40%
Moth Including EOC	2018	48%	50%	43%	26%	38%	56%	*	88%	*	32%	25% 26%	42%	45%	34%	37%	39%
Math Including EOC							48%	*		*		20%	25%				
	2018	45%	46%	36%	23%	35%	48%		77%		46%	21%	25%	38%	27%	33%	36%

8th Graders																	
Reading and Mathematics	2019	34%	35%	28%	22%	28%	36%	*	40%	0%	27%	19%	13%	30%	24%	27%	26%
	2018	28%	30%	25%	23%	25%	28%	*	38%	*	14%	21%	19%	26%	20%	24%	22%
Reading and Mathematics Including EOC	2019	47%	48%	40%	28%	40%	52%	*	64%	17%	50%	20%	18%	43%	29%	38%	36%
	2018	41%	43%	37%	30%	35%	45%	*	70%	*	38%	21%	23%	39%	26%	34%	31%
Reading Including EOC	2019	55%	56%	47%	34%	47%	61%	*	68%	33%	52%	22%	25%	51%	35%	45%	43%
	2018	50%	51%	44%	40%	42%	52%	*	72%	*	64%	23%	31%	46%	33%	41%	36%
Math Including EOC	2019	62%	64%	60%	50%	60%	63%	*	87%	50%	59%	34%	43%	62%	52%	58%	59%
	2018	58%	60%	59%	52%	58%	63%	*	89%	*	50%	31%	46%	60%	52%	57%	58%
3rd - 8th Graders																	
Reading and Mathematics	2019	36%	37%	28%	21%	27%	38%	21%	65%	15%	37%	20%	23%	29%	22%	26%	27%
	2018	35%	36%	28%	21%	26%	38%	29%	60%	50%	38%	22%	21%	29%	22%	25%	26%
Reading and Mathematics Including EOC	2019	38%	39%	30%	22%	29%	41%	20%	67%	21%	41%	20%	24%	32%	23%	28%	29%
	2018	37%	38%	29%	23%	28%	40%	29%	63%	50%	40%	22%	21%	31%	23%	27%	28%
Reading Including EOC	2019	47%	48%	42%	35%	40%	54%	33%	72%	36%	52%	24%	33%	43%	34%	39%	39%
	2018	47%	48%	40%	33%	39%	53%	35%	69%	60%	54%	26%	32%	42%	34%	38%	37%
Math Including EOC	2019	52%	53%	44%	33%	43%	54%	40%	83%	50%	50%	29%	42%	46%	37%	42%	45%
	2018	50%	52%	43%	33%	42%	52%	47%	80%	60%	47%	29%	38%	45%	36%	41%	43%

# 2020 College, Career, and Military Readiness PASADENA ISD (101917) Data Table

	Annual Gradua	tes
	Count/Credit	Percei
Texas Success Initiative (TSI) Criteria		
Met TSI criteria in both ELA/Reading and Mathematics	1,392	399
ELA/Reading		
Met TSI criteria for at least one indicator	2,011	56
Met TSI assessment criteria	874	24
Met ACT criteria	83	2'
Met SAT criteria	1,376	38
Earned credit for a college prep course	289	89
Mathematics		
Met TSI criteria for at least one indicator	1,620	45
Met TSI assessment criteria	868	24
Met ACT criteria	84	2'
Met SAT criteria	846	24
Earned credit for a college prep course	206	6
AP/IB Examination		
Met criterion score on an AP/IB exam in any subject	704	200
Wet enterior score on an Art Tib examinating subject	704	20
Dual Course Credits		
Earned credit for at least 3 hours in ELA or Mathematics		
or 9 hours in any subject	409	11
ndustry Daned Contifications		
ndustry-Based Certifications	400	
Earned an industry-based certification from approved list	166	5
Level I or Level II Certificate		
Earned a level I or level II certificate in any workforce education area	14	00
Associate's Degree		
Earned an associate's degree while in high school	53	1
OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course		
Completed an OnRamps course and qualified for at least 3		
hours of university or college credit in any subject	0	0
Graduate with Completed IEP and Workforce Readiness		
Received graduation type code of 04, 05, 54, or 55	179	5
1,000.100 9.000.01. 9,000.01. 01,00,01.		
Special Ed with Advanced Degree Plan		
Identified as receiving special education services and earned an		
advanced degree plan	111	3
J.S. Armed Forces		
Enlisted in the U.S. Armed Forces	69	2
Linisted in the 0.3. Affiled Foldes	03	
Met Non-CTE Criteria		
Met at least one criteria above	1,987	55
CTE Coherent Sequence Coursework Aligned with Industry-Based Certifica	ations	
CTE coherent sequence graduate with at least one CTE course		
aligned with an industry-based certification and did not meet any		
other criteria (1/2 credit) - Indicates there are no stude	ents in the group. 354	10

## 2020 District Graduation Rate District Name: PASADENA ISD (101917)

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	EL+	Special Ed
I-Year Graduation Rate (Gr 9-12): Class o	f 2019										
% Graduated	90.4%	88.5%	90.5%	87.6%	100.0%	95.6%	*	88.9%	89.8%	84.2%	81.5%
# Graduated	3,460	239	2,878	183	**	129	*	24	2,526	481	309
Total in Class	3,827	270	3,179	209	**	135	*	27	2,812	571	379
5-Year Extended Graduation Rate (Gr 9-12	2): Class of 2018										
% Graduated	92.5%	91.8%	92.5%	90.7%	87.5%	98.7%	*	84.6%	92.8%	85.4%	86.4%
# Graduated	3,646	303	2,961	204	**	147	*	22	2,850	387	241
Total in Class	3,941	330	3,200	225	**	149	*	26	3,070	453	279
6-Year Extended Graduation Rate (Gr 9-12	2): Class of 2017										
% Graduated	92.4%	90.2%	92.8%	88.3%	*	97.0%	*	86.7%	92.4%	85.0%	86.5%
# Graduated	3,402	268	2,753	234	*	128	*	13	2,353	306	205
Total in Class	3,680	297	2,965	265	*	132	*	15	2,546	360	237
Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 9-12): SY 2018-1	9										
% Dropped Out	1.7%	2.4%	1.7%	2.7%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	1.8%	1.9%	3.4%	2.2%
% Dropped Out - Conversion	83.0%										
# Dropped Out	290	32	228	26	0	2	0	2	238	75	39
# of Students	16,680	1,307	13,685	964	35	574	5	110	12,691	2,214	1,768